### PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISOR'S MEETING AUGUST 27, 2025

The special monthly meeting of the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors was held on Wednesday, August 27, 2025, at the Plainfield Township Fire Hall, located at 6480 Sullivan Trail, Wind Gap, PA 18091.

Chairman, Ken Field, called the meeting to order at 6:00 P.M.

The Pledge of Allegiance was performed.

#### **ROLL CALL:**

The following Supervisors answered roll call: Chairman, Ken Field, Vice Chairman, Glenn Borger, Supervisor, Nolan Kemmerer, Supervisor, Jonathan Itterly, and Supervisor, Kenneth Fairchild.

Also, present were Township Manager, Paige Stefanelli, Solicitor, David Backenstoe, Esq., and Township Engineer Representative, Dave Crowther.

Approximately 300 members of the public were in attendance.

#### I. TOWNSHIP MANAGER -- PAIGE STEFANELLI:

Solicitor, David Backenstoe, indicated that they scheduled this date for a public hearing on Ordinance No. 426. The Ordinance's 427 and 428 have been readvertised and will be heard at a public hearing scheduled for September 10, 2025. Mr. Backenstoe also reviewed exhibits 1 through 15.

#### A. Public Hearing:

1. Public Hearing for Ordinance No. 426- The proposed Ordinance of the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors would amend the Plainfield Township Zoning Map, by Changing the Zoning Classification of 18 Parcels Situate in Plainfield Township, Northampton County, Pennsylvania, consisting of approximately 212 Acres, as specifically set forth within the Ordinance from Farm and Forest (FF) Zoning District to Solid Waste Processing and Disposal District (SW), in an area generally bounded by Pen Argyl Road (S.R. 1011) to the West, Bocce Club Road (T-666) to the North, an Old Railway Bed to the East and Delabole Road (S.R. 1016) to the South

A public hearing was held at this time. Transcripts of this hearing are attached hereto as through more fully set forth at length as "Exhibit A".

#### B. New Business:

1. Consideration of Adoption of Ordinance No. 426 Amending the Plainfield Township Zoning Map (See Description in VIII(A)(1)

ACTION: Motion was made by Glenn Borger adopt Ordinance No. 426, The proposed Ordinance of the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors would amend the Plainfield Township Zoning Map, by Changing the Zoning Classification of 18 Parcels Situate in Plainfield Township, Northampton County, Pennsylvania, consisting of approximately 212 Acres, as specifically set forth within the Ordinance from Farm and Forest (FF) Zoning District to Solid Waste Processing and Disposal District (SW), in an area generally bounded by Pen Argyl Road (S.R. 1011) to the West, Bocce Club Road (T-666) to the North, an Old Railway Bed to the East and Delabole Road (S.R. 1016) to the South. Motion died for lack of a second.

ACTION: Motion was made by Nolan Kemmerer and seconded by Jonathan Itterly to approve Ordinance No. 426, The proposed Ordinance of the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors would amend the Plainfield Township Zoning Map, by Changing the Zoning Classification of 18 Parcels Situate in Plainfield Township, Northampton County, Pennsylvania, consisting of approximately 212 Acres, as specifically set forth within the Ordinance from Farm and Forest (FF) Zoning District to Solid Waste Processing and Disposal District (SW), in an area generally bounded by Pen Argyl Road (S.R. 1011) to the West, Bocce Club Road (T-666) to the North, an Old Railway Bed to the East and Delabole Road (S.R. 1016) to the South. Prior to the vote, Chairman, Ken Field, asked if there were any comments from the governing body or the public. Prior to the vote, Chairman, Ken Field, asked if there were any comments from the governing body or the public. Motion approved. Vote 3-2, Supervisor Glenn Borger and Supervisor Kenneth Fairchild are opposed.

#### C. Resolutions and Ordinances:

1. Consideration of and Possible Action on Resolution No. 2025-16 Authorizing Plainfield Township's Entry into the 2025 Amended and Restated Host Community Agreement with Grand Central Sanitary Landfill, Inc.

ACTION: Motion was made by Nolan Kemmerer and seconded by Jonathan Itterly to approve Resolution No. 2025-16 Authorizing Plainfield Township's Entry into the 2025 Amended and Restated Host Community Agreement with Grand Central Sanitary Landfill, Inc. Prior to the vote, Chairman, Ken Field, asked if there were any comments from the governing body or the public.

Resident, Robin Dingle, asked if the Host Agreement would be available to the public for review. Chairman, Ken Field, indicated some of the highlights are that Plainfield Township receives \$3.10 per ton from Waste Management, when the Resolution of the Host Agreement is adopted Plainfield Township will receive \$4.60 per ton and then after DEP completes the approval process \$5.60 per ton, when the eastern expansion area accepts waste it will go up to \$6.00 per ton. There will be a 7% increase every 5 years and on each 5-year anniversary thereafter. If the tonnage is reduced and the total is less than \$2.2 million at the end of the

year, Waste Management will supplement the difference. There will be free curb side collection for all Plainfield Township residents, two 95-gallon toters delivered to each resident, a \$250,000.00 payment for recreation/municipal use, and 3-5 acres of land towards the Slate Belt Police Department if they decide to relocate. Chairman, Ken Field also indicated there are provisions if Waste Management is below 1,200 tons of intake, Waste Management would notify Plainfield Township with a 1-year notice that we will no longer receive free trash collection.

Resident, Jane Mellert, stated what was presented by Chairman, Ken Field tonight was irrelevant and residents were not provided with enough time during the hearing to deliver relevant information that is important.

Resident, Robin Dingle, asked how the rates were generated and compared to other landfills in the area or within Pennsylvania. Chairman, Ken Field, indicated that when you take Pen Argyl and Wind Gap into consideration, Plainfield Township would receive more. Solicitor Backenstoe stated that they did review other municipalities.

Vote 3-2 with Supervisor, Glenn Borger and Supervisor, Kenneth Fairchild are opposed.

#### II. CITIZEN'S AGENDA/NON-AGENDA

(Only persons who have signed the Sign-In Sheet by 6:15 PM will be allowed to speak. There is a 3-minute time limit for speakers)

Resident, Jane Mellert, reviewed information within the Trust Fund, Host Retro Account, Open Space Fund and the Capital Reserve Account. These are some of the funds within Plainfield Township. Plainfield Township has good professional people that want to volunteer for a Finance Committee to establish a plan for years to come.

#### XIII. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REPORTS:

•	Kenn	eth	Fiel	d:

Nothing to report.

#### • Glenn Borger

Nothing to report.

#### Nolan Kemmerer

Nothing to report.

#### • Jonathan Itterly

Nothing to report.

#### XIV. ADJOURNMENT:

Having no further business to come before the Board of Supervisors, the motion was made by Glenn Borger and seconded by Nolan Kemmerer to adjourn the meeting. Motion approved. Vote 5-0.

The meeting adjourned at 11:39 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy Kahler

Secretary/Permit Coordinator

Plainfield Township

These minutes were prepared with the assistance of the Secretary/Permit Coordinator of the Township, Amy Kahler under the direction of the Township Manager

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP

#### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PUBLIC HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 426
August 27, 2025
6:00 p.m.

Plainfield Township Fire Department Banquet Hall Facility

6480 Sullivan Trail, Wind Gap, PA 18091

BEFORE: THE PLAINFIELD TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ken Field, Chairman Glenn Borger, Vice Chairman Nolan Kemmerer, Supervisor Jonathan Itterly, Supervisor Kenneth Fairchild, Supervisor

Paige Stefanelli, Township Manager David Backenstoe, Esq., Solicitor Dave Crowther, KCE

#### APPEARANCES:

SAUL EWING, LLP
BY: DANIEL P. ROWLEY, ESQ.
1200 Liberty Ridge Drive
Suite 200
Wayne, PA 19087
-- On behalf of Waste Management

## INDEX OF WITNESSES

WITNESS	PAGE
DAVID ALLEN	
Examination on Qualifications by Mr. Rowley Direct Examination by Mr. Rowley	25 28
ADRIENNE FORS	
Direct Examination by Mr. Rowley	48

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Township		Advertisements	9
Township		Certificates of Mailing	9
Township	3	Lehigh Valley Planning Commission	10
Marsa ala i sa	1	notification copy	10
Township	4	Plainfield Township Planning	1.0
Township	5	Commission notification copy (Not marked for identification.)	10
Township		Packet of postings	12
Township		Binder of application materials	13
Township		Additional documents	14
Township		Lehigh Valley Planning Commission	
-		letters	14
Township	10	Plainfield Township Planning	
		Commission reviews	17
Township		Landfill Closure Analysis	17
Township		Strategic Solutions report	18
Township		Neighboring municipality letters	19
Township		Community letters	19
Township	15	2004 Regional Comprehensive Plan	20
A-1		Binder of application materials	23
A-2		Supplemental materials	24

1	MR. FIELD: I'd like to call this
2	meeting to order. Would everyone please rise for
3	the Pledge of Allegiance.
4	(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
5	MR. FIELD: Paige will role call,
6	please.
7	MS. STEFANELLI: All right. We have
8	Ken Field, Chairman?
9	MR. FIELD: Here.
10	MS. STEFANELLI: Glenn Borger, Vice
11	Chairman?
12	MR. BORGER: Here.
13	MS. STEFANELLI: Nolan Kemmerer?
14	MR. KEMMERER: Here.
15	MS. STEFANELLI: Jonathan Itterly?
16	MR. ITTERLY: Here.
17	MS. STEFANELLI: Ken Fairchild?
18	MR. FAIRCHILD: Here.
19	MS. STEFANELLI: Paige Stefanelli, I
20	am here.
21	Dave Backenstoe?
22	MR. BACKENSTOE: Here.
23	MS. STEFANELLI: Dave Crowther?
24	MR. CROWTHER: Here.
25	MS. STEFANELLI: Kevin Horvath is not

here. Nicholas Steiner, not here. Amy Kahler, not here.

2.0

2.4

We have no items under Guest Speakers
Or Presentations, no items under Secretary, no items
under Finance Director or Township Engineer, which
brings us to the Public Hearing, and I will turn
this over to our Solicitor, Dave Backenstoe.

MR. BACKENSTOE: Okay. Good evening, everybody. I am David Backenstoe, pleasure serving as the Township Solicitor. And we are here tonight for a Public Hearing on Ordinance 426. Now, that is an ordinance which proposes to rezone 18 parcels of property located in Plainfield Township from farm and forest to solid waste.

Now, to avoid confusion, many of you may have thought we were going to be here for three ordinances: Ordinance 426, which is the rezoning, which we will be discussing; Ordinance 427, which proposes to change the landfill from a Conditional Use to a By-Right Use; and then Ordinance 428, which exempts landfills from the overlay steep slope district.

However, the Manager, Paige
Stefanelli, and I were not satisfied with the
advertisement that appeared in the Morning Call. It

was not inaccurate; it was not wrong. But it was edited, and it did not appear the way that we thought was appropriate. So in the abundance of caution, we re-advertised and are re-advertising those two ordinances, and they're going to be heard at a hearing like this at the same location at 6:00 on September 10th. And they have been re-advertised.

2.2

2.4

But we are here tonight to discuss Ordinance 426. And with that, with the Chairman's permission, I'd like to get started with that.

That ordinance proposes to rezone 18 acres for a total of -- I'm sorry -- 18 parcels, for a total of 212 acres, from the Farm and Forest District to the Solid Waste Processing and Disposal District. The ordinance clearly identifies the 18 parcels by acreage and tax parcel number, and those are the parcels for which this Board is ultimately going to decide whether or not they would like to adopt an ordinance which would rezone and reclassify them.

Now, before we get started with that, there are a number of housekeeping items that we have to look at because, when you have an ordinance of this nature, any kind of zoning ordinance or a

SALDO Ordinance, there are various advertising requirements. And so I think it's important that I go through those on the record.

And by the way, Brooke is here stenographically recording everything we're saying, and so we do ask that everybody speak one at a time and in a polite, courteous manner so she can record all of the things that everybody says because what everybody says is very important.

So the first thing that happens with an ordinance of this nature is it has to be published in a newspaper of general circulation, two successive weeks, not more than 30 days nor less than 7 days. And so, because the Express Times no longer publishes, it's been a problem for many townships, many solicitors. We chose to use the Morning Call. And so we advertised this ordinance two successive weeks, August 8th and August 15th, in the Morning Call, and it was a full advertisement. We did not do a summary of the ordinance; we did the entire ordinance, including both maps, and paid extra for color. I have the proof of publication here from the Morning Call certifying that that advertisement was done and complete.

In addition, we provided -- although

2.

not necessary because we used the entire ordinance in the advertisement -- we also provided a copy of the actual ordinance in the event somebody wanted to go to the Morning Call and see it.

2.0

The next advertisement we did, although not necessary, but in an abundance of caution because so many people read the Express Times, even though it was not published, was we published it again two successive weeks, on 8/7 and 8/14. And again, it was the entire ordinance that appeared, and I have the Express Times legal affidavit confirming that those publications were there.

Finally, because many townships are now looking to the Home News to publish their ordinances and things, we also advertised two successive weeks in the Home News, August 7th and August 14th, and I have the certification and affidavit regarding that as well. And again, it was the full ordinance, and again, both of the Express Times and the Home News, we provided them with an actual copy of the ordinance.

The next document -- and, actually, I'm going to mark that packet of articles, advertisements, as Township No. 1.

(Township Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

2.3

The next packet, which I'm marking as
Township No. 2, is there is a requirement under
Section 609 of the Municipalities Planning Code that
owners of property whose property is subject to the
rezoning -- in other words, their property is going
to be rezoned -- they have to receive first-class
mail at least 30 days prior to the hearing. And so
Paige did a very nice job. On July 24, 2025, she
sent out Certificate of Mailings by first-class mail
to each of the 18 property owners whose property is
subject to this ordinance. Now, it happened to be
the same owner, Slate Spring Farms, LLC, but
nonetheless, she sent out 18 of them, and we have
all the Certificates of Mailing here, and that's
been marked as Exhibit Number -- Township No. 2.

(Township Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification.)

The next thing that's required -- and again, it isn't special for this ordinance. We do these for every time you want to amend your zoning or your SALDO -- is you have to notify the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. And so I actually hand-delivered three copies of the ordinance on June

27th to the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission so that they could review this in accordance with Section 609(e) of the MPC, and I have that document here stamped received by the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission, and that's Exhibit T-3.

(Township Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.)

In addition, when an ordinance is prepared by some entity -- and this was forwarded to us by Waste Management -- other than by your own planning commission, you have to send it to your planning commission so they have time to review it, and it has to be 30 days prior to the hearing. So on June 27th, Paige emailed to the Planning Commission the exact ordinances. In fact, she sent them the same thing that I filed with the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. And I'll mark that document as Exhibit T-4.

(Township Exhibit No. 4 was marked for identification.)

The next document which was required is that these ordinances be posted -- attested copies of these ordinances be posted with the law library. And so again, on August 6th, I hand-delivered a packet of the three ordinances --

we're only dealing with one tonight because we talked about 427 and 428 -- but I still sent -- and at the time, we didn't know that -- I still dropped off three Ordinances: 426, 427, and 428. Each one is time-stamped, and they've been at the law library ever since.

2.3

The next requirement which is required by a township when you have a zoning change is that you post the property to be rezoned. And I will say that I think Paige and the road crew did a nice job with this. It's 211 acres. And what I have marked here as Township's Exhibit No. 6 is a map -- I'll hold it up -- of the areas which are proposed to be rezoned, and there's a pin by each place where a posting was set up. A sign was posted on the property. And Paige was very diligent, and each one of her signs, when she posted it, she took a picture of the sign up close so you can read it, and then she took a picture of the sign from far away so you can get some perspective on it.

Now, Paige posted -- and Paige can correct me if I'm wrong. She posted a total of 39 signs close up and in the distance with the pictures. The signs included the Notice of the Hearing plus the actual ordinance. She posted 25

signs on August 14th. Those are signs 1 through 25 on diagram T-6 and in the pictures. She posted another sign on August 15th; that was the 26th sign. And then she posted another 13 signs on August 20th; they were signs 27 through 39. The signs are the same signs that have always been used by this Township when posting a property for any type of zoning hearing. There was no deviation or change. However, later on in the process, we did get brand new signs which were much larger. They were about two-by-two feet and really, really clear and legible from the road. And so, Paige -- those were the other 13 signs that she posted on the 20th.

Unfortunately, and as you would expect sometimes with these things, many of the signs were taken or removed, and we were immediately notified of that by the road crew or somebody else, and Paige diligently -- Friday night, Sunday night, whenever it was -- went out and reposted those signs and put them back up. And she also has the series of pictures of reports. And again, that packet I marked as Township Exhibit No. 6.

(Township Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)

Those are the notice requirements

2.0

2.3

which have been followed by the Township. The next thing I'd like to talk about as part of our hearing are the documents that had been submitted and reviewed by the Board of Supervisors in anticipation of deciding whether or not to adopt such an ordinance. And the first document that I want to refer to is the six-inch volume of documents presented by Waste Management, and it was presented on September 12, 2024. It is a six-inch binder. It includes memos, reports, maps, plans, and I'm going to mark that as Township Exhibit No. 7.

2.

(Township Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.)

That was their original submission.

After that and pursuant to multiple meetings and hearings before both the Township Planning

Commission and the Board of Supervisors on several occasions, Waste Management and Waste Management representatives were asked to provide additional documentation, reports, and/or memos, and they did that. And I've marked the packet of additional memos and reports that they presented as Township Exhibit No. 8, and they consist of the following.

The first actually was a typo on the heading of the letter. It says: January 10, 2024. But when you

read the first sentence, it says: On December 2, 2024, pursuant to a Special Meeting, we were asked -- so obviously, that's a typo. That should be 2025.

2.0

2.4

The second presentation or additional supplemental report they provided, which was part of T-8, was dated February 10, 2025. The third that I have in this packet was dated October 22, 2024, from Waste Management. And then the last was a report with a pamphlet dated March 6, 2025. And again, the compilation of those documents have been marked as Township Exhibit No. 8.

(Township Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.)

The next series of documents which the Township has had to review very carefully -- and the Board of Supervisors -- in anticipation of deciding whether to move forward with this were the letters from the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. And I've marked the two letters from the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission Township No. 9.

(Township Exhibit No. 9 was marked for identification.)

And a lot of people asked, well, this is what's going on. Dave, why two letters? We only

ever have one letter. And I'll explain that for the record.

2.0

2.2

2.4

Management initially submitted their proposal, they, on their own, submitted that proposal, also, to the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. The Township had not submitted that; Waste Management did. The Lehigh Valley Planning Commission — I don't know if they knew or cared, but they took that entire proposal, and they reviewed it at their meetings, and they prepared a written memo and sent it to the Township on November 22, 2024, where they outlined their different thoughts, ideas, and views about the proposed ordinances. And again, their letter, at the time, considered all three: The Zoning Map Amendment, the Use Amendment, and the Steep Slope Amendment.

After the Board decided it was going to proceed with considering an ordinance and ordinances were actually drafted, then those ordinances, under the MPC, had to be sent to the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission again. That's where people said: Dave, geez. They've already reviewed it.

Well, they did, but they didn't review

the actual ordinances which had been prepared. And so they were resubmitted, and, as we talked about, I hand-delivered those. And they again met and they issued a second memorandum dated July 25, 2025, and I have those two documents collectively marked as Township's Exhibit No. 9.

2.0

The next documents, which the Board of Supervisors have paid and will continue to pay close attention to, were the reviews by the Plainfield Township Planning Commission. The Plainfield Township Planning Commission was tasked with the job of reviewing the submission, and over the course of three, four, five meetings, I think it was, the Planning Commission did review the submission, and ultimately, on April 4th, sent a letter to the Board of Supervisors with their thoughts and considerations regarding all three ordinances: The rezoning, by-right, and the exemption from steep slopes.

Again, once the ordinances were actually drafted and advertised, they were resent to the Planning Commission because we thought that was the appropriate process, and the Planning Commission again sent a second letter to the Board of Supervisors dated August 21, 2025, wherein they

reviewed carefully all of the three ordinances.

Tonight, of course, we're only dealing with 426. I

have marked that exhibit collectively as Township

4 No. 10.

(Township Exhibit No. 10 was marked for identification.)

The next document which I have that I've marked as Township Exhibit No. 11 is the Landfill Closure Analysis. The Board of Supervisors had engaged an entity, Susquehanna Accounting & Consulting Solutions, Inc., in order to prepare a Landfill Closure Analysis, and the Board received that, they reviewed that, and they have that in front of them, and that's going to be part of their consideration in this matter. Again, I marked that as Township Exhibit No. 11.

(Township Exhibit No. 11 was marked for identification.)

The next document I have, which the Board has paid careful attention to, is a Zoning or a Planner's Report that was prepared by Strategic Solutions. I've marked this exhibit T-12, and it's dated July 17, 2025, and the Township engaged Strategic Solutions to do a review of the zoning aspects of the proposed ordinances, and they did

provide that report dated July 17, 2025, to the Board of Supervisors — in fact, made a complete presentation to the Board and Planning Commission. I guess it was to the Board, although members of the Planning Commission were here, I think, a week or two ago. Again, that's been marked as Township Exhibit No. 12.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Township Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)

The next series of letters are very important, of course, to the Board, and they are letters from neighboring municipalities, and I've marked these collectively as Township Exhibit No. 13, and I'll go through them. The first letter was dated October 8, 2024, from Wind Gap Borough, and that was received by the Township, and that's part of the review process. The second letter that the Township received was from the Borough of Pen Argyl, and that was dated February 5, 2025. And then Pen Argyl sent a second letter through its solicitor, Mr. Layman, on August 4, 2025. So Pen Argyl actually sent two letters. The last entity which submitted documentation and letters for consideration was Washington Township, and they actually submitted three letters. They submitted a letter on October 23, 2024; April 21, 2025; and on July 16, 2025. And those letters have been made part of the record, something for the Board to continue to review and consider. I've marked them, again, collectively as Township Exhibit No. 13.

(Township Exhibit No. 13 was marked for identification.)

The other set of documents which are, of course, very important to the Board are letters from Township residents and also individuals around the community. And the Township received many letters in favor and many letters against the proposal. What Paige did was she took each letter and prepared a spreadsheet and lists so they're not just random letters, although we have each letter here. It's a spreadsheet which lists the name of each and every person who submitted a letter; their address; if they're Plainfield Township, Washington, Pen Argyl, Wind Gap; whether they were opposed or whether they supported it. And so the Board has that, and they've had that for some time, and they've reviewed that, and I marked all of those letters of pro and con, along with the index, collectively as Township's Exhibit No. 14.

(Township Exhibit No. 14 was marked

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

for identification.)

The last document I have that I think the Board of Supervisors has been carefully reviewing is the Regional Comprehensive Plan. This is dated September 2024, and it is the Pen Argyl/Plainfield/Wind Gap Regional Comprehensive Plan, and I have marked that as Township's Exhibit No. 15. I'm sorry, 2004. If I misspoke, I apologize.

(Township Exhibit No. 15 was marked for identification.)

edit or note regarding the ordinance. We all love computers until we don't. When the ordinance was prepared, it was in PDF form, and in order to submit it to the Morning Call and the different newspapers, it had to be converted to Word. Unfortunately, when it was converted to Word, one of the section numbers was changed. So instead of reading Section 3, it read Section 2 again. So the ordinance, as advertised, said Section 1, Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4. So I corrected that, which is appropriate to do. You cannot change an ordinance once it's advertised if there's a substantive change, if there's some kind of text

change, if there's anything which would change the nature of the ordinance. In this case, unfortunately, the computer glitched and put a second 2 down, so the ordinance now has the proper Sections 1, 2, 3, and 4, and 5. So I would note that for the record.

1.2

2.4

And with that, I'll turn it back to the Chairman, but I think you anticipate, initially, a presentation from Waste Management, and then after that, you're going to open the mic up for every single person who wants to speak for or against or offer any thoughts whatsoever today.

MR. FIELD: That's correct.

MR. BACKENSTOE: Okay. So should we turn it over to Waste Management at this point?

MR. FIELD: Waste Management, you can

make your presentation at this time.

MR. ROWLEY: Absolutely. Good evening. My name is Daniel Rowley. I represent Waste Management, which is the owner and operator of Grand Central Sanitary Landfill that's located here in Plainfield Township.

Grand Central Landfill is asking the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors to rezone approximately 211 acres of land adjacent to the

current Solid Waste District to expand the current Solid Waste District zone.

1.8

The existing Grand Central Landfill has approximately 4 years of remaining disposal space. However, if continued operations are approved and permitted with this expansion, the Grand Central Landfill would be provided with an additional approximately 20 years of operating.

Further, there would be no change in the permitted waste types accepted at this facility. The site would accept the same average waste volumes, and the designated truck route to and from the site would remain the same.

Last September, coming up on a year now, Waste Management submitted an application seeking three zoning amendments with the purposes being, number one, to rezone the 211 acres from Farm and Forest District to Solid Waste Processing District to allow for the expansion of the landfill. Number two, to eliminate steep slope requirements for landfills in the Solid Waste Processing District. And, number three, to make landfill uses in the Solid Waste District By-Right Uses. And as your Solicitor noted, only the rezoning amendment, that's Ordinance No. 426, is in front of the Board

1 | tonight.

2.0

2.2

2.4

The rezoning application and related plans, reports, and materials that was submitted to the Township can be found at Exhibit A-1. This was also marked as Township Exhibit T-7. And that is the materials in this, what was referred to as the six-inch binder that was submitted with our application.

(Exhibit A-1 was marked for identification.)

Since the submission of that application last September, we have met with the Planning Commission on five separate occasions: December 2, 2024; January 29, 2025; February 26, 2025; March 17, 2025; and August 18, 2025.

In addition to the materials presented to the Commission at each of these five meetings, Waste Management followed up with written response letters to the Planning Commission's questions.

These letters are dated January 10, 2025; February 10, 2025; and March 6, 2025. And these letters provided additional written responses and materials to questions posed by the Commission.

In addition to our review in front of the Township Planning Commission, we received two

1 Lehigh Valley Planning Commission review letters. 2 The LVPC noted that the proposed site can make sense 3 for a landfill expansion if environmental impacts 4 are scrutinized and mitigated and, quote, significant adverse impacts are unlikely due to the 5 6 close proximity of the expansion to existing 7 operations. The materials that were either 8 9 generated during the course of the review of the 10 application or additional information provided by 11 the Applicant can be found in Exhibit A-2, which I 12 handed up and should be in front of each of you. 13 (Exhibit A-2 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 I have with me tonight Dave Allen, who 16 is the civil engineer for the project, to walk 17 through the plans and the supporting information on 18 the project. And Adrienne Fors from Waste 19 Management is also here to testify on behalf of the Applicant. So unless there are any initial 2.0 2.1 questions from the Board for me, I would call Dave 22 Allen. 23 MR. FIELD: Any questions from the 24 Board? 25 Continue.

1 (A discussion was held off the 2 record.) 3 MR. BACKENSTOE: Okay. So again, 4 because this is stenographically recorded, the 5 stenographer normally swears the witnesses in. Ι 6 know that many of you, if not each and every one of 7 you, is here to speak tonight. So rather than do 8 this one at a time and take another three hours, 9 we're going to ask everyone that plans to speak to 10 please stand up, raise your right hand, and then she 11 will administer the oath to you. 12 (All parties were duly sworn.) 13 14 EXAMINATION ON QUALIFICATIONS 15 16 BY MR. ROWLEY: 17 So, Mr. Allen, I'll note that you were just Q. 18 sworn in as a witness; is that correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Could you please state your name and business 0. 21 address for the record. 22 Α. Sure. It's David Allen. I work with Earthres, a Division of RESPEC. And our address is 23 2.4 6912 Old Easton Road, Pipersville, PA 18947. 25 And I'll direct you to Exhibit A-2, 0.

Subsection B, and is this a copy of your CV?

A. It is.

- Q. And can you briefly describe for the Board your educational and professional background.
- A. Absolutely. So I am a civil engineer for over the last 25 years. I have a Bachelor in Civil and Environmental Engineering. I've been working with Earthres for the last 16 years with a mix of industrial projects. I've had the honor of working with WM over the vast majority of it. Actually, the very first project I worked on with WM at Earthres was the Southern Expansion, or the very tail end of it.

In my resume, I kind of started from the most recent projects and the most applicable projects. So I have Grand Central Sanitation and Material Recycling Facility, which just became operational this year, so that was the last project I worked on in this Township with WM.

Before that, I began at Grand Central Hauling. I had the privilege of converting that fleet to CNG fuels of compressed natural gas. That required zoning approval in order to do that, so I was presented as a witness during that Zoning Hearing Board meeting.

And then, in regards to landfill expansions, you know, worked with Waste Management at the Alliance Sanitary Landfill in Lackawanna County. So it was an 87-acre expansion approximately in 2014.

And then more locally, I've worked on land development improvements and permit -- DEP permit modifications at the transfer station in Lehigh Township in Northampton County.

And on the second page -- I'm not going to go much further, but on the second page, I worked on the landfill gas, the energy pipeline, for Chrin in Williams Township, Northampton County. And then in 2014-2015, I worked on the engineer record at the Chrin Sanitary Landfill expansion in Williams Township, Northampton County.

Following that, you'll see a lot of renewable natural gas, so in essence, the new wave of landfill gas management at landfills is to condition the landfill gas to pipeline-quality gas. So that's got me quite busy for the last few years.

So I'm going to stop there unless there's specific questions.

MR. ROWLEY: I'd like to offer Mr. Allen as an expert in civil engineering and

specifically landfill engineering and design. 1 2 MR. BACKENSTOE: That's fine. 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. ROWLEY: 7 Mr. Allen, are you and your firm, Earthres, 8 the civil engineers for the Grand Central Landfill Expansion? 9 10 Α. Yes, we are. 11 Okay. And turning to Exhibit A-1, is this a 12 copy of the materials that were submitted by the 13 Applicant as part of the rezoning request? 14 Α. It is. Exhibit A-1 is, as I've mentioned, 15 the six-inch binder. Earthres compiled that binder. 16 So we wrote, in essence, the Table of Contents, 17 Section 1, Section 2, Section 3. And then you'll 18 note there's a lot of appendices in the document. 19 It's a hefty document. I would say at least 75 20 percent is reports where we contracted with 21 threatened endangered species specialists, wetlands 2.2 specialists, and traffic specialists. 23 And can you walk the Board through the 0. 24 materials that are included in Exhibit A-1. 25 Α. Absolutely. So starting with the Table of

Contents in Exhibit A-1, we have the Introduction, which includes the Project Individuals, a Zoning Map Exhibit that is an excerpt of the existing zoning map with the area that we're requesting to be rezoned.

We also have a Permitting Flow Chart to go through the steps that are required for the permitting approval process for the sanitary landfill in Pennsylvania.

We also have the Property Owner

Consent. The property owner is Slate Spring Farms,

and we have the deeds for each of the 18 parcels

included in that.

And then we move on to Section 2, which is the Zoning Amendment. Section 2 follows the eight questions that are in the Township's Zoning Ordinance that need to be answered substantially. Yes or no answers are not appropriate, so we followed each one of those questions, which are: 1, Land Use; 2, Land Planning; 3, Contributing Influence; 4, Existing and Anticipated Need; 5, Natural Environment; 6, Public Service, which includes Schools, Utilities, Recreation Facilities, Fire Protection, Police Protection; 7, Streets; and then 8, Citizen Opinion.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then Section 3 is the Supplemental Information that was mentioned. Really, the Section 2 is answer to the zoning ordinance, and 3 is what we felt was pertinent information specific to the landfill and the benefits that the landfill brings, which are: 1, Financial Support Land Use; 2, Support of Education; 3, Economic Development and Community Projects; 4, Community Civic & Goodwill Organization Giving, which is just a partial list; and, 5, "A Good Neighbor" & Public Support; and, 6, Thinking Green & Clean; 7, Transportation Safety & Compliance Program; 8, Managing Open Dialogue with the Community; 9, Daily Nuisance Control; 10, The Environment & Education.

At the end of Section 3, we have the Grand Central Sanitary Landfill tables. So Table 1 is the 2019-2023 Waste Acceptance Breakdown. that lists the type of waste, where it came from, what county, over the course of those four years. Table 2 is the Landfill Eastern Expansion Area Metrics. So that includes the total tonnage capacity of landfill, and then assuming a full maximum permitted tonnage, the year or the timeline for the actual landfill for the Eastern Expansion. And then Table 3, we have the Approximate State &

Local Tipping Fees, which includes the current fees, which is a breakdown of the County and the two Borough host fees and the Township host fee. And then Table 4 is Approximate County Recycling Fees because there is an additional County recycling fee

in play for the landfill.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And then, moving on to the Appendices, as I mentioned, these are just answering the questions in Section 2 with a little more substance. So we have Appendix A is the Aerial & Line of Sight Exhibits; Appendix B is the Real Estate Impact Reported as prepared by Laudone Associates; Appendix C is the Real Estate Impact Report as prepared by Econsult Solutions, Inc.; Appendix D is the Economic Impact Report as prepared by Econsult Solutions, Inc.; Appendix E is the Traffic Impact Study as prepared by Traffic Planning & Design, Inc.; Appendix F is the Wetland Determination as prepared by GHD, Inc.; Appendix G is the Bog Turtle Survey as conducted by GHD, Inc.; and Appendix H is the Bat Survey as conducted by DuBois & Associates; Appendix I is a Health Risk Assessment as prepared by CDF Associates, LLC; Appendix J is the Groundwater Protection as prepared by Earthres Group, Inc.; Appendix K is the Water Quality BMP -- which is Best

Management Practices -- Summary as prepared by

Earthres Group; Appendix L is the Nuisance

Mitigation and Control Plan Highlights for the

Inclusion in the Rezoning Request as prepared by

Earthres Group, Inc.; Appendix M is the Grand

Central Sanitary Landfill Awards; Appendix N is the

Community Support Letters.

2.

And then, lastly, Appendix O is the Rezoning Plan Set as prepared by Earthres Group, Inc., which includes: Sheet 1, Cover Sheet; Sheet 2, Natural Resources Plan; Sheet 3, the Rezoning Plan; Sheet 4, the Property Ownership Plan; and Sheet 5, the Conceptual Site Plan.

- Q. Can you walk the Board through the plans for what is proposed as part of the Grand Central Landfill Expansion.
- A. I can. I think everyone is aware -- I just want to walk through the general location. So up top, we have Exhibit A-2(C), slide 19. This is the area exhibit. This is really just a -- kind of a simplistic view of the areas that are being proposed.

So north is left, the existing landfill is on the bottom, and then east is to the top, and, obviously, south is to the right.

So we have three hatched areas, and we also have a blue line. So all three hatched areas or shaded areas, that is the 325-acre area that's under agreement of sale. The rezoning area that we're speaking about tonight is represented by this blue outline. So it follows Pen Argyl Road, Bocce Club Road to the old railway bed, and then it follows Delabole Road back to Pen Argyl Road.

2.4

So within that 211-acre rezoning, we're also proposing an approximately 133-acre permit area, which is this dashed purple line. It's probably hard to see it from where you are. But that includes the purple, which is an 81-acre disposal area, and then a non-disposal support area, which is this pink or peach color. That will include a truck wash, sediment basins, and just support facilities like the perimeter road and MSE berm.

Part of, you know, obviously, that 325 acres -- 134 acres is just going to remain as is. So a large part of that is woodlands, wetlands, and existing residences, and they will stay as is.

And then, I will turn to this exhibit, which, this is from Exhibit A-1, Appendix O, and again, this is the last sheets, C-5, the Conceptual

Site Plan. Again, you can see the landfill here to the bottom of the sheet and then the proposed landfill, the contours for the proposed Eastern Expansion.

As Dan mentioned, the truck traffic is going to use the designated truck routes or existing designated truck routes. The volume is not going to change, so the intensity of the use or the intensity of the traffic is not going to change. Trucks will continue to use the local access road, which follows and is parallel to Pen Argyl Road. They're going to use the existing scale -- scale house. The maintenance building will still stay the same. And then they will cross here at a grade crossing Pen Argyl Road to access the Eastern Expansion.

There's also a substantial amount of infrastructure that will be reused. So we're going to be reusing the existing leachate treatment plan for the Eastern Expansion. We're also going to be reusing or utilizing and maintaining the Natural Gas Management Facility, which is the Green Knights Landfill Gas and Energy Facility, and the flares.

Generally, as you can see, as I mentioned, these are wetlands, these hatched areas. They really drove the permit boundaries, you can

see, which is this hatched green line. So the permit boundary is compliant with exceptional value wetland setbacks, these are 300-foot, and you're not allowed to do any landfill activities within that setback.

2.2

Q. Thank you, Dave. Plainfield Township Zoning Code Section 27-807.6 requires an applicant for a zoning amendment to provide answers to a number of questions. This has been referenced throughout our presentation here.

Are the answers to these questions

found in written form in the materials found in our Exhibit A-1, which is the application materials, and Exhibit A-2, the supplemental materials?

A. Yes, they are. So specific written answers to each of the code revisions in Section 27-807.6 can be found in Exhibit A-1, Section 2. As I mentioned, the Section 2 follows the zoning ordinance and those specific eight questions and answers to those questions we also provided in our presentation to the Planning Commission.

The slides of that PowerPoint for the Planning Commission presentation can be found in Exhibit A-2(C), and then additional information that touch on what's required in Section 27-807.6 can be

found throughout the application materials in Exhibit A-1, specifically, again, Section 2, and then the supplemental information in Section 3. And then, also, in the supplemental materials that can be found in Exhibit A-2.

Q. Okay. I'm going to have you walk through those Zoning Code questions in Section 27-807.6(A), but first, I'd like to have you identify the supplemental materials that we're referencing found in Exhibit A-2.

Can you identify the items in Exhibit A-2 for the Board.

A. Absolutely. So Exhibit A-2 is this binder, which was presented tonight. It includes A-1, which is the September 2023 Submission and Zoning

Amendment Application material -- I apologize. A-2 includes the: A, which is the Zoning Ordinances, which is Ordinance 426, 427, and 428; Item B is my

CV and my resume; C is the Grand Central Landfill Rezoning Application presentation slides that were presented to the Planning Commission.

D is the Zoning Amendment Response Letters to the Planning Commission dated January 1, 2025; February 10, 2025; and, lastly, March 6, 2025. E is the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission Letters; F is the April 4, 2025, Plainfield Township Planning
Commission Letter; G is the 2004 Conditional Use

3 Decision for the Grand Central Landfill Southern

4 Expansion; and then, H is the Supplemental Support

5 Letters.

Q. Okay. As referenced, Mr. Allen, can you now walk the Board through the Zoning Code questions, starting with Code Section 27-807.6(A).

A. Absolutely. So the first question is 6-A(1): Is the proposed land use compatible with adjacent land uses?

We say: Yes, the proposed landfill is located adjacent to the existing Grand Central Landfill to the west. The property -- as I mentioned, the property to the south is being purchased by Grand Central Sanitary Landfill but will remain undeveloped and continue to be zoned farm and forest. Several properties to the east across Bocce Club Road are also being purchased by Grand Central Sanitary Landfill and will not be used for landfilling or landfill support facilities. And then, lastly, the property to the north is comprised of historic and current slate mining operations and associated slate spoil piles.

The second question, which is 6-A(2):

1 Is the proposed land use compatible with zoning 2 regulations in adjoining municipalities if the 3 planned use is located on the Township's borders? 4 So we are adjacent to the EI --5 Extractive Industry Manufacturing District of Pen 6 Argyl Borough. The -- as I mentioned, the truck 7 routes will remain the same. No long-haul trailers 8 will utilize Pen Argyl Borough streets. 9 proposed landfill operations will be located further 10 south from the existing Pen Argyl homes than the 11 current landfill operations. And then there are no 12 other adjoining municipal boundaries. Washington 13 Township is located approximately a half a mile to 14 the east, and then Wind Gap Borough is about one 15 mile to the west. 16 The third question, which is 6-A(3): 17 Is proposed land use permitted in other 18 appropriately zoned areas of the Township? 19 Yes, but the current Grand Central Sanitary Landfill is only -- is the only property in 20 21 the Solid Waste Processing District and has 22 approximately four years of life remaining. 23 Fourth question, which is 6-A(4): 2.4 Does the proposed land use conform with the goals

and recommendations in the Pen Argyl-Plainfield-Wind

25

Gap Comprehensive Plan of 2004?

2.0

2.4

Expansion provides a continuing critical community service for solid waste management as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan, with the development adjacent to the existing landfill making use of existing infrastructure, both landfill and public utilities. As listed in the Comprehensive Plan, one of the goals is to provide adequate community facilities to accommodate future growth without endangering health or environmental quality. And a sub-part of that goal is an objective, which is the ninth bullet, which notes: Assure environmentally responsible and economical solid waste disposal.

The Solid Waste Plan also further notes that counties are responsible for preparing and implementing a Waste Management Plan. The Plan was contractually assured the existence of waste disposal capacity for a 10-year period. With over 50 percent of the County's waste going to Grand Central Sanitary Landfill, closure of the landfill would create a significant void in solid waste management in the County.

The next question we have is 6-B: Land Planning. Will the proposed land use site

planning, landscaping, and other land planning proposals enhance the visual and aesthetic character of the immediate neighborhood?

So as I mentioned, to the east, we are going to be maintaining the existing wetlands and woodland area to the east of the Eastern Expansion, which also will include buffer properties, so the existing residences will remain. The proposed elevation of the Eastern Expansion will not exceed the permitted elevation of the existing landfill, which is 980 feet above sea level. And then we will be using MSE Berms, which are mechanically stabilized earthen berms, around the perimeter of the landfill with screen plantings. And then also, as mentioned, to the north the Eastern Expansion will be screened by existing slate and spoil piles.

The next question addressed in Section 2 is Contributing Influence, so 6-C(1): How will the proposed land use affect the following trends in the Township? Vacancy rates, economic viability of business, traffic impact, low density to higher density use, water, sanitary sewer, and utility service and capacities.

To the first part, vacancy rates are addressed in Exhibit A-1, Appendix B, which is the

Real Estate Impact Report as prepared by Laudone Associates, and Exhibit A-1, Appendix C, which is the Real Estate Report as prepared by Econsult Solutions, Inc.

2.0

Economic viability of business is addressed in Appendix A-1 -- sorry, Exhibit A-1, Appendix D, which is the Economic Impact Report as prepared by Econsult Solutions, Inc.

Traffic impact is addressed in Exhibit A-1, Appendix E, Traffic Impact Study as prepared by Traffic Planning & Design, Inc.

Water. In 2001, Grand Central Sanitary Landfill installed a 12-inch water main extension from Pen Argyl Borough to the existing landfill maintenance building. The proposed landfill will have the same water demands as the existing and will utilize this water main.

Sanitary sewer. Pen Argyl Municipal Authority. There is an existing four-inch force main in Pen Argyl Road. The employees for the Eastern Expansion landfill will continue to use this force main. And then, just to note that, you know, the Green Knights currently convert landfill gas to electricity, so they do provide power to the national grid.

The next question is 6-C(2): Is there adjacent vacant land whose potential land use character would be significantly influenced by the proposed land use?

2.2

Again, part of the Eastern Expansion we're looking -- you know, the 211-acre rezoning, there's going to be 134 acres that will be preserved, and that's immediately adjacent to that rezoning area.

6-D: Existing and Anticipated Need. Would the proposed land use serve a real need that exists or is expected to exist within one year?

So Grand Central Sanitary Landfill is the planned disposal facility for Northampton County. That's available on the County Municipal Solid Waste Management Plan. It's also included in 13 other county Municipal Solid Waste Management Plans, so it's an integral part of our area's solid waste management. They also note that, per Lehigh Valley, the Plainfield Township population is anticipated to grow substantially by 2050, so there will be a future and anticipated need for the facility.

The next question is 6-E: Natural Environment. Can the proposed land use be sited on

the land with a minimal disruption to the natural environment?

2.0

operating with a minimal disruption to the environment, and the same could be true for the expansion area. The expansion area will have geosynthetic liners and cap system, stormwater management system, a leachate treatment, and also gas management systems, which will be utilized to dispose of and -- you know, have the disposal waste and the climate control matter. As also mentioned, we do have exceptional value wetlands in proximity to our site, and we are abiding by the DEP's 300-foot setback, which exceeds the Township's 100-foot setback for wetlands.

And then, as part of our due diligence, we submitted a PNDI, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Index search. Indiana bats and bog turtles were identified as potential conflicts. As part of our, again, due diligence, and in the appendices of Section 3, there were threatened and endangered species surveys done, and none of those endangered species were found in the potential habitat area.

As I mentioned, the -- from an

environmental perspective, this makes the most amount of sense. We're going to continue to utilize existing support facilities, the leachate treatment plant, the landfill gas flares, the Green Knight Energy Facility. We currently have a groundwater and surface water monitoring network that -- we'll also install and expand that with a new groundwater and surface water network.

2.0

And then, obviously, just common sense, the site represents the least environmental impact area because, again, we're reusing a lot of the existing infrastructure, which is tens of millions of dollars. And it takes up its own footprint in and of itself.

And then, lastly, from an environmental standpoint, landfills are extremely regulated by Pennsylvania DEP, so if the property is rezoned, we have a long, long process and approval process with the Northeast Regional Office of DEP. It's a multi-step sequential process. It's going to take at least four years to complete that process. The first step is a -- it's a Local Municipal Involvement Program meeting, so it's LMIP.

Obviously, the Township and the Boroughs will be invited to be part of that meeting and that process.

Following that, we have a Phase One, which is a detailed Environmental Assessment Review, and that needs to be completed by DEP before they'll proceed into it, but the Heavy Technical Engineering Review, which is a Phase Two, which really gets into the stability, groundwater, and just the sequencing of the landfill. It's also to be noted that the DEP do not proceed with the review of the Air Quality Plan Approval until after the DEP Phase One process has been approved.

Ż

All and any health potential issues will be scrutinized in the DEP approval process, which, again, the Township is invited to be part of and welcome to be part of. WM will perform a predictive Health Risk Assessment for the expansion site as part of the DEP review process. And as WM has agreed, they will continue to provide an update of the Health Risk Assessment every five years, consistent with the condition attached to the 2004 Conditional Use Approval, which is in Exhibit A-2(G) for the Southern Expansion Project.

The sixth question here is 6-F:
Public Service. Are existing and imminent public services available to adequately serve the proposed land use?

One, Schools. We believe there's no impact. The Eastern Expansion would be located further away from the closest schools.

Two, Utilities. As I mentioned before, we're going to reuse and utilize the existing water and sewer utilities.

Three, Recreation Facilities. Grand Central Sanitary Landfill will continue to have the educational -- Environmental Educational Center to continue to support the County and Township's recreation trail and network plans and programs.

Four, Fire Protection. The landfill and Eastern Expansion will continue to be protected by the Plainfield Township Volunteer Fire Company with support from the Lookout Fire Company No. 1 in Pen Argyl and Wind Gap Fire Company, which will support the emergency services.

And then, five, Police Protection.

The landfill will continue to be protected by the Slate Belt Regional Police Department.

And there's two more questions. So the second to last is 6-G, Streets. 6-G(1): Are the existing streets able to accommodate the increased traffic that the proposed land use will generate?

And then 6-G(2): If not, proposed to be widened/improved?

So as I mentioned, there is no increase in traffic. The volume will stay the same. The traffic will stay the same. The truck routes will stay the same. We are proposing a grade crossing at Pen Argyl Road. We did have a scoping meeting with PennDOT. We initially proposed a traffic signal. PennDOT in return requested yellow flashing safety lights, as the volume of traffic did not meet the minimum needed for a traffic and/or a four-way stop. Grand Central Sanitary Landfill will still be an advocate for a signal if that's the Township's wish, but that's the initial feedback from PennDOT.

And then, lastly, the last question is 6-H, which is: Citizens Opinion. What do adjacent property owners think about the proposed land use?

So immediately adjacent, 96 percent of the site perimeter, 12,100 of the 12,600 linear feet of perimeter will be owned by Grand Central Sanitary Landfill. And, obviously, as mentioned at the beginning of this meeting, there are support letters, which Adrienne Fors will discuss in further detail.

1	Q. Thank you, Mr. Allen. Directing your
2	attention back for one second to 6-A(4), you had
3	noted that I think you had quoted a plan that
4	said, quote: Counties are responsible for preparing
5	and implementing a Waste Management Plan. The Plan
6	must contractually assure the existence of waste
7	disposal capacity for a ten-year period. End quote.
8	Is this a quote from the Comprehensive
9	Plan?
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure. I think I
12	may have noted it was from the Waste Management
13	Plan.
14	MR. ROWLEY: I don't have any further
15	questions for Mr. Allen. If there are no questions
16	from the Board, I can call my next witness,
17	Ms. Adrienne Fors.
18	MR. BACKENSTOE: Please proceed.
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	<del>-</del>
22	BY MR. ROWLEY:
23	Q. Okay. Ms. Fors, I believe you were sworn in
24	previously; is that correct?
25	A. Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q. Okay. Could you please state your name and
- 2 business address for the record.
- 3 A. Adrienne Fors, 910 West Pennsylvania Avenue,
- 4 Pen Argyl, PA.
- 5 Q. And you are a representative of the
- 6 | Applicant, Waste Management, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q. And what is your position with Waste
- 9 | Management?
- 10 A. I am the Community and Municipal Relations
- 11 | Manager at WM. I've spent nearly 20 years at WM
- 12 | working alongside our community in education, as
- 13 | well as helping implement the Solid Waste Plans
- 14 | throughout the County and the State, and I've helped
- 15 institute and developed the educational program for
- 16 our Education Center, and now our new Recycling
- 17 Education Room, and have worked alongside our Pen
- 18 | Argyl Area School District teachers in development
- 19 of a sustainability program and helping our
- 20 | Envirothon students.
- 21 Q. And have you been involved in the Grand
- 22 | Central Landfill Expansion Project on behalf of
- 23 | Waste Management?
- 24 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 25 Q. And can you discuss Waste Management's

current place in the community in terms of providing jobs, economics, charitable endeavors, things of that nature that you come across in your job?

A. Absolutely. We believe we are an integral part of this community. We have submitted and presented to the Planning Commission on December 2, 2024. And referencing the Exhibit A-2(C), those numbers reflect the historical values. However, I have since updated some of those numbers to reflect the values present-day. So we have gone through a lot of meetings. We've discussed a lot of benefits and WM's part in this community. So the numbers -- I'll mention when I update those.

2.0

2.1

So our historical community benefits, there's been \$109 million in annual economic impact in Pennsylvania; 410 jobs, totalling \$30 million in annual compensation; \$4 million to Plainfield, Pen Argyl, and Wind Gap Estimated Annual Host
Neighboring Community Agreements; and the combined annual value of free trash pick up and recycling currently for Pen Argyl and Wind Gap as of March, 2025, is valued at \$1,372,680. And that's annual.

Grand Central pays approximately \$400,000 in annual school and real estate taxes, and as of today, and since 2005, WM has provided

\$52,890,248 in the combined Host Community Fees to Plainfield, Wind Gap, and Pen Argyl. And of that figure, Plainfield Township has received \$32,336,987 since 2005.

2.0

Furthermore, our community education.

We are a wildlife habitat, and we were certified by the Wildlife Habitat Council in 2007. We have over 212 acres dedicated to wildlife preservation. We spend over 600 hours a year focused on environmental education, waste reduction, and recycling and provide over 20 tours and events annually to help educate our community.

A monthly e-newsletter is shared with those residents that are signed up for our newsletter through a distribution list. We post regular updates and information on our Facebook page. And we have over a mile of trails on our existing buffer property that aligns with the trail network goals and through our partnerships with the Lehigh Valley Greenways, the Link, and the 9/11 National Memorial Trail.

Our community commitment includes contributions in the summary from the January 10, 2025, letter to the Planning Commission. Following the December 2, 2024, meeting, our summary notes of

the following contributions: Since 2011, \$577,898 1 2 had been donated to our area non-profits. Grand 3 Central directly employs 226 employees and an additional 80-plus local business contractors and 5 98-plus ancillary contractors for self-construction, 6 drilling, and operations. Grand Central is committed to an annual safety training for our 8 emergency services, and over the last eight years, 9 we have hosted CNG truck training for our emergency 10 responders.

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Has Waste Management engaged in negotiations for an Amended Host Agreement as required and mandated under Pennsylvania Law for the expansion of a landfill if that is approved and constructed?
- A. Yes. We've engaged with a sub-committee of the Board of Supervisors to amend the Host Agreement and enhance the benefits of the Township if the expansion is eventually approved and constructed.
- Q. And can you talk about some of the community support that the proposed expansion has received?
- A. Grand Central has been a community partner for more than 50 years, and in my own tenure of almost 20 years with WM, we have partnered with great leaders of our community to support youth sports programming, environmental education, our

bird experts, as well as trail development partners, and our Scouts.

.8

2.1

2.3

2.5

Since the submission of our application in September of 2024, which includes 25 support letters in that application, referencing Exhibit A-1, Appendix N. We've also included 33 additional support letters for which we were copied on that were sent to the Township and received during this review process, and that is referenced in Exhibit A-2(H). Not to mention about a dozen folks who have spoken in favor of the expansion at the Planning Commission meetings, as well as the Supervisor meetings throughout 2025.

I'm not going to go through all 58 of those support letters that have been submitted, but I would like to highlight a few that stand out to me.

The first letter is from the Green & White Youth Association, who we have partnered with since the early 2000s. One of our closest neighbors also has shared her letter about her family has held their daughter's wedding in their backyard, which abuts the landfill property. The Eastern PA Girl Scouts, who we have just received the Friends of Girl Scouts Award from in November of 2024, provided

1 a letter that shares their experience using the 2 Education Center, where they camp, hike, and host 3 their annual Archery Badge Program. Additionally, we received support 4 5 letters from Plainfield Township's Fire Company, 6 Lookout Fire Company, and Wind Gap Fire Company, all 7 of which we've supported. It is important to note 8 that our closest neighbors to the Wastewater 9 Treatment Plant have also provided support for this 10 expansion. 11 0. So unless there are any questions from the 12 Board of Ms. Fors, that would conclude Waste 13 Management's presentation. 14 I just had a quick MR. BACKENSTOE: 15 question for either Mr. Allen or -- what's the total 16 amount of acreage in the current -- hello? Testing. 17 I apologize. It shut off. 18 How many acres make up the current 19 Solid Waste Zoning District? 20 MS. FORS: I believe it's about 310, 21 315, somewhere around there in acreage. The actual 22 disposal footprint, though, Dave, I believe is 110 23 acres. 24 MR. BACKENSTOE: That concludes your

25

presentation?

MR. ROWLEY: It does.

1.4

2.4

MR. BACKENSTOE: I think you can,
Mr. Chairman, move to open it up and allow everybody
who wishes to present --

MR. FIELD: Anybody who's been sworn in at this time can one by one approach the podium and give testimony for or against. And, also, please be courteous and respectful of the person who's speaking, and everybody in the room will appreciate that.

We can do it by a raise of hands and just go in order.

MR. SANTO: Hi. My name is Jason
Santo. So thank you to the Board for letting me
speak. I would say that Plainfield has a bright
future, actually. We are a Township that is located
in an economic zone that has been growing faster
than pretty much any part of the country, including
Pennsylvania. It's probably one of the
fastest-growing areas of Pennsylvania -- I think the
top two. There is job opportunities: Easton;
Allentown; Bethlehem; up north, Stroudsburg. We're
right between Philadelphia and New York. It's
literally booming. It has one of the fastest
population growths, actually, in the nation.

And we have a Township that actually is composed of open space, rolling farmland butted up against the Blue Ridge Mountains. It's a pretty neat place to be, and the vision of the future shouldn't be that we are dependent on a landfill to make ends meet. Our future should be becoming an area where people want to live because the jobs are here. People can easily commute to jobs and then come back to our community, raise a family, retire, and it will be a beautiful place to live.

Now, that vision is going to be absolutely demolished if we approve the landfill and we become known as the town that's got the dump, and that's what we're known as now. Not many towns in Pennsylvania that have old industry — they are dying because they're not — they don't have the unique ability that we do to be located where we are. So the vision that we have right now is one of opportunity, and with the trust that we have, planning to come up with a way to bring people in because it's beautiful.

So I think that, you know, there's a lot of different ways that we can address the financial issues, but if we sell -- pretty much -- our future to Waste Management, then our financial

ability is going to be completely tied to them. We are going to have to go to them for every one of our needs, and we, as a Township, won't have control anymore because after this landfill gets filled up, Slate Spring Farms owns lots of land surrounding here, and they're going to be putting in their new ordinance requests. And that's what we will be: The Township of the dump.

2.3

And the other point I'd like to make is, right now, the people who -- you guys have been elected. I haven't been able to find one person that's been elected that has stated on-record during the election campaign, hey, here's my full-throated endorsement of the expansion. This was already settled in 2020. Nothing has changed except a billion-dollar corporation having no money and being able to time when they think they got who they need in there to get something passed. Nothing else has changed.

Where's the trust in government? To say, well, it's already been decided, is that what it is? It's going to be an open forum for the landfill to just constantly put this forward so that we're in a position that, when it's good for their timing, they can circumvent what the people want?

And the reason no one openly advocated during their election to vouch for the landfill expansion is because they wouldn't get elected. It's not a mystery. If anyone campaigned on that, you wouldn't get elected.

So all the games that we're playing, the bottom line is -- everyone knows, you know, Waste Management knows -- that the majority of people don't want this. And just the timing of -- Waste Management is able to circumvent the trust that we have in our local government is a shame.

So the right thing, and to maintain trust -- we just had an election where the person,

Jane Mellert, won overwhelmingly by being full-throated against it. Let's wait, let's play things out and actually have further elections where people can say, I'm running on this platform, and this is why. And you can see where people stand because there's no question about it. The majority of the residents don't want it. Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: Good evening. My name is Jim Murphy --

MR. FIELD: Well, you're up, and then we're going to go down the list.

MR. SULLIVAN: Hello. My name is Bob

Sullivan. I live at 1100 Delabole Junction Road in Pen Argyl, which is 300 yards from where Bocce Club Road meets Delabole Junction Road. So I have a vested interest in fighting against this process because I have smelled the stink that comes off that landfill for many years.

Now, it's been good the last three years. Four years ago, the smell that came off the landfill when they were ferrying their trash from the eastern side, from the Pen Argyl Road side of the landfill, and I would come home every night, and I would call --

MS. STEFANELLI: Mic. Your mic.

MR. SULLIVAN: -- God rest his soul,
passed away last year. I called Denny (phonetic)
up: Denny, come down here. I can smell the stink.

And he said: Well, it smells a little bit but not too bad.

And, you know, yada, yada, yada. And I called the company, the management at Waste Management, and I spoke to them on numerous occasions and got excuses about, oh, a loader broke down, the bulldozer couldn't operate today. It was preposterous. I must have called them eight, ten times in one season to no avail. They never ceased

and desisted their operation. They completely violated the rules. The way I interpret it was, when I got the smell coming across my property, I was to report it. I called the DEP. That was a waste of time because the DEP doesn't show up for a week to smell the smell that I smell. You know? It was crazy.

1.5

So I called Waste Management. I got nowhere with them. They never shut down their operation.

Now, I understand, Mr. Board Members and Mrs. Board Member, you've got an economic situation going on here. You need to address your budgetary needs and your constituents. But I'm going to ask you, just don't prostitute yourself to being in that position where the money is what you're voting on.

Take into line the consideration of the community. The people that live on the eastern side of that landfill -- myself and my neighbors -- are going to smell that thing. And not only that, I'm going to have to listen to the back-up beep of all the heavy equipment that they're going to use to dig that hole. All the equipment, all the machinery, I'm going to be listening to that every

day, and that's why I'm pleading with you to not vote for this amendment. Because where I live, right there, right outside that green corner, is going to be horrific, and I've got no place to go, you know? That's all I've got to say.

MR. FIELD: Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: My name is Jim Murphy. I live at 1035 Capp Road. Boy, it's déjà vu all over again. 30 years ago, I was up here about the expansion on the Pen Argyl side. Now, if you had told me 30 years ago that the possibility that this expansion would be created, that would have been crazy-wildly speculative. So here we are.

My concern is, when I look at a place like Bethlehem Steel, what they've done to rebuild that area, make it profitable, taxes, and that sort of thing. Now, Waste Management has got over 167 acres on the other side of the hill on the Mack Road/Alpha Road side. What are they going do with that? Well, apparently, they're going to hold that in their pocket. Slate Spring Farms, another 111 acres right next to it.

So there's almost 300 acres that, I guess, is going to be held for when they need it.

But I guess that would be speculative. But the way

things are going, I see this working its way down
Mack Road, working it's way down Pen Argyl Road,
probably to 191, to the point where, what's going to
be the point of living in Plainfield Township?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

And my biggest concern, in looking at Bethlehem, what do we do with this land? Is Waste Management going to babysit it for the next 30, 50, 100 years? What do you do with it? You can't put homes on it; you can't put industry on it. suppose you could ski on the Pen Argyl side, but I don't know what you guys are going to do with it. At some point, you're going to walk away. And when you do, it's not going to have any taxes at all. You won't be able to use it. Nobody is going to live on it. Half the Township is essentially going to lose its tax base. Now, is that going to be 50, 75 years from now? I suppose there's people here who don't care. They want the answer now. can't see Waste Management babysitting that 100 years from now. Not going to happen. What happens to the Township? I don't know. Like I said, 30 years from now, I'll be here. Thank you for your time.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. The other person that was seated, and then we're going to go

through the list after that.

2.0

2.4

MR. SMITH: Can you hear me okay?

Good evening, everyone. My name is Michael Smith.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to talk

tonight. I've been living in Plainfield Township

for around 15 years. I've also practiced law for

around 20 years.

that this is challenging. This is tough. You all are in a tough spot. No matter what you do, you're going to make some people very upset. And change is hard. When you've been doing something the same way for so long, choosing a different path is difficult. It takes courage; it takes integrity. You're going to hear a lot tonight. I guarantee you that. But I want to spend my time to reflect on what I believe matters the most.

When you have a difficult decision like this, you gotta ask yourselves two questions: One, have you done everything possible to create a fair, objective, and transparent process that looks at all available alternatives free of bias; and, two, on the merits, does permitting a landfill to expand and continue operations for a hundred years, for a century, promote the health, safety, and

welfare of this community? Respectfully, neither standard is met.

That's ground zero. Those two questions are what you need to ask yourself, not the theatrics, not all the -- does it smell? Doesn't it smell? Look, it smells. Those are the two issues.

On the process, I've expressed to you my concerns before, which have intensified in the last two months. With respect, this process has been a procedural mess. You've ignored your own Planning Committee. Full stop. Ignoring your own Planning Committee is against the spirit of the MPC and a massive departure from norm and protocol, and you know that.

You haven't addressed the recommendations from the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission Report. Mr. Rowley omitted, when he was speaking, the fact that the LVPC report indicated that the expansion conflicts in several ways with the regional plan, and the report took great lengths — if you read it, you'll know this — it took great lengths to tell you that the comments by the local Planning Commission should be weighed heavily by the Township. Have you done that?

You hired an independent consultant to

override the established process. You've met in private with the Applicant, which I've told you before was wholly improper, and it appears that you're on the brink of making a decision which conflicts with the results of the last election. With all due respect, this is not sound governance; it's a dysfunctional process.

On the merits, expanding the landfill is so clearly inappropriate. It's not neutral. It's permanent. It's going to increase our traffic. It will strain our roads. It will elevate risks to water and air. It will continue to impact the values of our homes. It's going to be a pool factor to other waste companies. It's going to damage our brand. It's going to increase the risk of litigation, which already exists, above and beyond the class action.

It's wholly inconsistent with your own Comprehensive Plan. The Regional Comprehensive Plan from 2004, when you read it -- I did this week; it's long and dense -- it promotes, above all else, a couple of things: The preservation of open spaces, farmland, environmental conservation, and upholding the rural character of this community. Trying to fit a 200-acre landfill expansion into our own

Comprehensive Plan is like trying to fit a square peg through a round hole, and you know that.

Prudence -- council's restraint. You haven't met the standard. This is so clearly inappropriate. Here's my recommendation. Launch a public/private partnership. Create an independent -- independent -- Economic Development Committee, and commission them to find alternative ways to bridge this hypothetical revenue gap that you may experience ten years from now. Ask them to come back to you in three to six months and provide you with recommendations. If you come back and you tell this community that you've looked at every single available option and there's nothing that can be done, this conversation would be much different. But you haven't done that.

You should deny this ordinance. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Kevin Anderson is up next.

I'm Kevin

Hi.

Anderson. I live down Gall Road. This is my daughter, Sarah. She's here for a field trip

MR. ANDERSON:

because she's learning about government in home school, and I used this as an example when we were

learning about it, and she asked if we could come

2.4

tonight. So that's why we're here.

We don't really have an opinion either way. We're not really educated on all the details, but she's here for learning purposes, and she really wanted me to come up and talk to everyone.

MR. FIELD: Thank you very much. David Anthony.

MR. ANTHONY: So I've been a resident of Plainfield Township my whole life.

MS. STEFANELLI: Can you state your name for the record, please.

MR. ANTHONY: Oh, I'm sorry. David
Anthony. So, you know, I would just encourage you
to vote yes on this amendment to allow for this
expansion. You know, just -- just vote yes on it.
I've seen -- I've looked at this dump. I'm not -- I
won't take up a lot of time. There's a lot of
people here tonight. So it's just my opinion, as I
think it's a good thing. It's not going to be as
bad as everybody makes it sound. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: John Schafer.

MR. SCHAFER: How you doing? I'm John Schafer, 2012 Delabole Road. I grew up there. Got the smell every humid day. And I know it's going to be an issue with the employment with some people,

but we need to shut it down.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Rick Patch.

No? Michael Stettler.

MR. PATCH: Hold on. I'll speak.

MR. FIELD: State your name.

MR. PATCH: My name is Richard Patch.

I live at 905 Grand Central Road in Pen Argyl. I am a Waste Management 20-year employee. I've driven a garbage truck for them for the last 20 years. I live approximately, I'd say, a thousand yards from the landfill. I've been there at my residence for the last four or five years, I think, because I rent.

Yes, you do smell the garbage. I'm not going to lie. It's a landfill. But we take very, very, very good care due to DEP regulations. We cover it every night. We have rat traps up. We have spray things that spray for -- I don't know -- but we do a very good job covering it, keeping it clean and drained properly. I hope we can keep it open for an another couple of years. I mean, the main landfill is only going to be another four or five years, and then what do we do then? We're going to probably bring in a transfer station and who knows what then. But I hope they do keep it

1 open. Thank you. 2 MR. FIELD: Michael Stettler. 3 MR. STETTLER: Good evening. Mike 4 Stettler. I'm with the Monroe County Municipal 5 Waste Management Authority and I've sent a letter in 6 reference to this expansion and spoken several times 7 in the past. I'm just here to reiterate my support 8 for the expansion. 9 MR. FIELD: Thank you. Pamela Love. 10 MS. LOVE: Good evening, everyone. 11 I'm Pamela Love. I live at 2067 Delabole Road. 12 am literally three houses away from Jury Road, and 13 the smell reaches us now. It comes -- we have ten 14 I have family that likes to come and enjoy 15 that countryside, and the view coming in is going to 16 change. How high is the new dump going to get? 17 Where is it going to expand to next? Our wells are 18 a concern. The wildlife is a concern. The future 19 for our children and our grandchildren is a concern. 20 I vote no. Thank you. 21 Thank you. MR. FIELD: Dan 22 Scholhammer. 2.3 MR. SCHOLHAMMER: Hi. I'm Dan 24 Scholhammer, 2077 Delabole Road. First, I'd like to 25 address all the employees of Waste Management.

```
1
      Appreciate what you guys do. You guys do a good
 2
            I'd hate to see everybody lose their job.
 3
      However, this -- I'd also like to thank Waste
 4
      Management for all your donations to the community.
 5
      It's been going on for a long time. I think our
 6
      town had enough. I think we all had our fill, if
 7
      you know what I mean.
 8
                    So I would just advise the Board to
 9
      please vote no.
10
                    MR. FIELD:
                                Thank you. Sherry Ott.
11
                    MS. OTT: I'll pass.
12
                    MR. FIELD: Allen Schafer.
13
                    MR. SCHAFER: I'm okay, Mr. Chairman.
14
                    MR. FIELD: I can't read that one.
15
      The person who resides at 366 Blue Valley Drive.
16
      Can't read your name.
17
                    MR. LAYMAN:
                                 That's Pete Layman.
18
      apologize for my handwriting.
19
                    MR. FIELD:
                                Next to a doctor, I'm sure
2.0
      you are the best.
21
                    MR. LAYMAN:
                                 They say it's an
22
      occupational hazard. I'll be brief. I'm -- as some
23
      of you know, probably the Board knows, the Pen Argyl
      Solicitor. As Mr. Backenstoe put in the record, Pen
24
25
      Argyl had sent two letters, and the Board thought it
```

was advisable that we come.

2.0

2.4

The main concern Pen Argyl has
expressed is to one of the two ordinances that is
not going to be voted on tonight. Even though, as
Mr. Backenstoe said, it was originally advertised.
That's been deferred, I'm sure, for very good
reasons. And that's the concern of converting this
use from a Conditional Use -- which, traditionally,
high-impact uses are conditional to an As-of-Right
Use. And I will speak at length because you're
voting on that on September 10th, as I understand
it.

But the concern expressed in the most recent letter is this. When a use, any use -- landfill, stadium, large apartment complex -- has an extensive impact because of its character and because of its size -- impact on traffic, impact on surrounding properties -- it is normal in almost every zoning ordinance for that not to be an As-of-Right Use for which the Zoning Officer simply issues a permit, typically, but a Conditional Use, where the governing body, the people elected to evaluate what's going on in the Township, have the opportunity, through a hearing like this that will be a Conditional Use Hearing on the conditional use,

a public hearing advertised in the newspaper, public notice, where the specific impacts of that use can be addressed. They can be addressed by the Applicant, they can be addressed by citizens, they can be addressed by people in opposition, and they can be evaluated in detail by the governing body. And that gives the governing body the ability to either approve or, just as importantly, approve with conditions, which might ameliorate some of the negative affects.

Because every use of any size -- not just landfill use -- every use of any size has both good and bad effects. And the way to evaluate that -- and it's in every zoning ordinance; it's in Plainfield's Zoning Ordinance -- is to do what the Plainfield Zoning Ordinance has required for decades. To make this, when it is submitted -- because after the rezoning occurs, the Applicant, as Waste Management says, would have to go through a DEP process but then also a process to get zoning permits and other permits through this body. And through the Conditional Use process, the actual effect, the detailed plans that are now being submitted, can be evaluated, and that's a very, very valuable process.

So I'm not going to comment on the 1 2 3 5 6 8 9 10 single family house. 11 12 13 14 15 16 MR. FIELD: 17 MR. TOWER: Pass. 18 19 Houck. Wayne Muller. 20 21 2.2 23 24

25

vote tonight because, as you know from the first letter, Pen Argyl did not take a firm position on how you should vote on the rezoning. But the Borough of Pen Argyl is concerned, and I would ask that you think very carefully about whether it makes sense to make, without question, the most impactful use in the Township go through only the same permitting process, the same zoning process, as a That's -- and I am certainly not going to go on at the same length that we may go on on September 10th because I know that's not before you. But I'm just leaving you with that for tonight for you to think about. Thank you very much. Thank you. Richard Tower. MR. FIELD: Charles Houck. Johanna MR. MULLER: My name is Wayne Muller. I live on Sundance Drive in Pen Argyl, Plainfield Township. I've spoken before, not in front of this many people, but I did want to voice my major concerns against the expansion of the landfill. I had mentioned in a previous meeting

I have serious health concerns emanating from the current landfill, and I believe it would only get worse as the landfill expands. As some of you know, my wife developed thyroid cancer last year, and apparently, there is a pseudo-cancer cluster in this area. And I can't say it came from living so close to the landfill for 35 years, but maybe it did. So that's one major concern I have.

The other, as you mentioned many times -- I live in Dotta's Development, and, yeah, we smell it. And it's only going to get closer now. I'm going to be about a half a mile or so from it.

Somebody mentioned traffic concerns aren't going to be a problem. Well, they have to cross that road. They're going to have trucks going across that road. How can we say there's not going to be any traffic concerns? I don't understand that.

open space. I mean, this field is currently -- I believe it's currently planted and used for crops. That will go by the wayside, and that's a shame. I think we're setting a bad precedent, and it's been mentioned -- I didn't realize there were other land opportunities that Waste Management has in their

possession or will if they buy certain people out. When will it end? I don't know.

2.1

2.3

And the last thing I'll say, there's been a lot of public outcry, and there's been a lot of support tonight from Waste Management. I don't know if any of you are employees. I would gather so, but I don't know that. And, again, it's a sad situation that if this expansion is not agreed to, you know, there might be some consequences for people that work there.

I think, too, a lot of people that are in support of this expansion -- and, again, I'm not speaking for everyone because there are some of you that live close to this landfill -- but I believe a lot of people that are in support of this expansion, it doesn't seem to affect them because they may live several miles away from the landfill. Plainfield Township is a large Township, and I think it's a little bit selfish because everybody will be impacted by this landfill. I'll be honest. I'd rather pay a little bit more in taxes.

In closing, I know you guys have a tough decision to make, and I can only pray and hope that you consider all of the constituents that are here and hopefully make the right decision. Thank

1 you. 2 MR. FIELD: Thank you. Carlton 3 Michaels. 4 MR. MICHAELS: Good evening. 5 Important decision tonight. I see we got a record number of police on board, our officers. 6 7 always a good indicator of how our meetings are going to be by how many we got. I'm all about the 8 9 police, military. 10 Any of you guys ex-military? Officer? 11 UNIDENTIFIED OFFICER: No, sir. 12 MR. MICHAELS: Thank you for your 13 service anyways. Appreciate it. 14 Can't hear me? Sorry. So anyway, I 15 was just wondering if any of the officers were any 16 ex-military at all. And I'm a proud Army dad right 17 here. 18 How about Boy Scouts? Anybody? 19 know what the Boy Scout motto is? Always be 2.0 prepared. And you guys better be prepared because 21 you guys are the first contract on the chopping 22 block if this doesn't go through, and that's just 23 among many. And it's not the only one that's going 24 to get cut or stop. Future improvements, supplies, 25 any of our expenditures are in jeopardy. Everything will be scrutinized to the penny. We simply will not have the funds.

]

1.2

2.2

And it's a shame, really. We're getting things going right now in the right direction, I feel. Repairs and improvements are being made, we've hired a great staff of engineers, we have a great Solicitor on board.

Thank you, Dave, for all the years.

And things are getting going. They're getting done. Not like they have been. You know, let's just wait and see, see if we have the funds, maybe we can get grants for it. Nothing gets done. It hasn't been getting done. Now we can see improvement.

So all that is going to come to a halt. Not right away, mind you, because, you know, it will be a process. Everybody's talking about, we have the infamous trust funds to use up. And it's arguable as to how long they will last depending on what our costs are going to be. We have lawsuits, we have major weather disasters, anything could pop up where we're going to be using a lot more money than we're using now. It's just an unknown.

So it won't take long for the spending cuts to kick in. All the while, our taxes will be

raised until all the funds are used up without an expansion. And then what? What are we going to do at that point? We just talk about, we should have things in place for, you know, looking down the road so we can get things in order and possibly look to getting other commercial aspects or something to replace the landfill funds that we're using right now. There's nothing -- we've had committees.

We've had committees for how many years? There's nothing that has come up. We've had -- 20 years we've been doing this. We've had the same process 20 years ago, and nothing is in place now that we would have this day. It's still here.

2.3

going on that could make up those funds, I'd be on board too. Right? So there's nothing here, and in the near future, it's not looking like there's going to be. So what are we left with? We have a few more years left with the landfill until it's gone, and then what? Like I said, it's -- even if we raise all the taxes to the max, cut the spending to the bone, we're still not able to cover the lost funds from the landfill.

And, you know, let's not forget that -- the garbage costs, the residential garbage

costs, the commercial garbage costs. They're going to go through the roof. I said this before. They're going to be on par with our -- almost with our real estate taxes. They have no choice, and they can charge whatever they want because they're -- whoever is going to do this is going to have the only game in town.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it's great to hear how nobody wants this landfill, and they're up here, and they're complaining, and you're very vocal, you clap a lot, and it's great. And it's great the whole community is out here. This is fantastic. But you're the same ones who are going to be up here crying the blues in another who knows how many years. Your taxes are through the roof; you can't afford it. And then what? You think, you know, what's going to Then the damage is already going to be done, and what are we going to do? It's like having your whole life savings -- and, you know, I'm getting old now. We're all retiring, and hopefully you got some funds put together and you can retire, you don't have to work. And all of a sudden, your financial planner says, oh, quess what? Half of your income is gone, and now what?

You're too old to work, and you can't,

1 you know, find any more income anywhere, just the 2 same thing with our Township. Where are we going to 3 get the money from? And you're at a loss. 4 Is that it for time? 5 That's it for time. MR. FIELD: 6 MR. MICHAELS: I'll come back. 7 MR. FIELD: We're going to take a 8 five-minute break at this time. 9 (A recess was taken.) 10 MR. FIELD: All right. Everyone, please be seated. I would like to remind everyone 11 12 to please be respectful. No -- if everyone would 13 please be respectful of whoever is speaking, no 14 sidebar conversations. Please no other noise in the 15 room because other people can't hear everything, 16 even with the person at the podium. 17 So we will resume public testimony. 18 Troy Leighton is up. Troy Leighton. Glen Houck. 19 If these people would raise their hand 2.0 as they approach the podium, I would be able to know 21 better that they were actually in the room. 22 Glenn Houck. Christine Houck. 23 Richard Werkheiser. Renee Yates. David Yates. 24 Jennifer Schaeffer. Bill Schaeffer. 25 MS. YATES: Hello. My name is Renee

Yates. I live on 986 Bocce Club Road in Pen Argyl, which is affected by the landfill.

2.0

I have lived in Pen Argyl since 1996.

I have been smelling the dump since then. I have lived on West Pen Argyl Street; smelled the dump. I have lived on West Mountain Avenue; smelled the dump. I have lived on -- what's the other one? -- and I smelled the dump. Now at 986, I still smell it, okay?

It's a beautiful property. I have coyotes. I have deer. I have fishers. You should see the Canadian Geese that come over when it rains too much. It's a beautiful property. I don't know what's going to happen to Waltz Creek. We have fish in there. My son is 18 -- stand up, David -- My son is 18 years old. He fishes out of that creek, okay?

I'm getting emotional because you're going to ruin my life. This property means so much to me. I cannot stand it that you're going to ruin it with a stupid dump. It deserves more respect than that. This property is beautiful. I could show you pictures of foxes. It's so beautiful. Please save our property. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Robert Gustenberg (phonetic). Matt Glennon.

MR. GLENNON: Good evening. I'm Matt Glennon. Just a couple of observations, mostly on the dollars, but I'd like to point out that Washington Township seems to survive, and they have no landfill. Bushkill Township seems to survive, and they have no landfill. Moore Township -- you get the point, and I can go on. Obviously, Plainfield Township can survive without a landfill.

2.1

Mr. Chairman, Ken, I don't mean to pick on you, but I noted some months ago you said: Well, if someone could show me how we can survive economically without the \$2.1 million from the landfill. And, of course, that's how much money they pay to the Township, but that's not how much money the town gets to use. 45 cents of that goes into the trust fund. So really what we -- I'm not sure. I think it's in the range of about a million seven. It's in that range.

In the report, what -- I think it was Susquehanna Economic Analysis, and I thought it was intellectually dishonest, let's put it that way. But they took a backward-looking picture of what the trust fund earned and compared it to the rate of inflation, and they came out -- like, a negative number. Well, they were looking back over the COVID

period.

I have a chart here put together by JP Morgan Chase, and it does show that period of during COVID that U.S. Treasuries were very low, inflation was high. But the long term averages, they're tracking the nominal yield. The real yield of the ten-year treasury, that's a pretty good proxy for what municipalities are allowed to earn — what our trust fund earns. The long-term average is about 5.73 percent, but more importantly, the real yield, adjusted for inflation, long-term, it's about 1.92 percent. As of June 30, 2025, it was 1.47 percent.

So the truth is that if we were to use the trust fund to replace the money coming in from the landfill, assuming — after inflation yield in that range, one—and—a—half to 1.9 percent, and taking out a million seven here, that trust fund would last us until 2038, maybe 2040. I think that would be ample time for the town to figure out how to balance the budget. Like Mike Smith mentioned, I think that would be a good idea.

I just want to remind you, also,
that -- my wife wanted to mention -- only two
members of this Board have actually been elected.
And I think Mike Smith had mentioned, let's not rush

this through. Let's wait and see what the next election brings. It would give you a better look, maybe, of what the view of our citizens is. Thank you.

2.1

MR. FIELD: Chris Cotturo.

MR. COTTURO: First, I'd like to say thank you for letting me speak. I'm Chris Cotturo. I'm a resident of Washington Township, 18 Treeline Drive. And with the exception of maybe about six years, I've been a resident of the Slate Belt for all my life.

You have a tough decision before you. And I want to thank all the Waste Management workers that dedicate their lives daily to working hard to serve the community. But I also want the Board to consider that the economic component is -- while important, is also something that can be addressed in other ways, and that has been spoken to by other people.

In my opinion, voting for the expansion is a short-sighted solution. There will come a time, if this passes, where the dump will have to close, and then the problem will still be there. And so at that point, as was stated earlier, that land cannot be reclaimed, so the tax revenue

from that land will eventually be lost.

So I think, as was suggested before, the time to find other alternatives is now. As was stated, other communities are able to survive without the dump. For us residents in Washington Township, we live — in my instance — as the crow flies, a mile from the dump, and we have the smell. In my office, I have the smell. We aren't getting any financial benefit, but yet we have to deal with the smell.

As to the traffic on Pen Argyl Road, that area in particular, where it intersects -- where Delabole Road intersects Pen Argyl Road, there have been numerous accidents. It's a very dangerous intersection as it is. People come flying around that turn. It's going to pose a hazard to the workers crossing that street, especially without a traffic light.

And there is also, as I'm sure most people here tonight know, already a class action suit. The smell has been there. Expanding the dump is not going to eliminate the smell. I ask that you review this and respectfully ask that you vote to oppose it. Thank you for your time.

MR. FIELD: Pete Albanese.

1 MR. ALBANESE: Thank you. First of all, I'd like to make a statement. I'd just like to 2 3 thank the Board and its staff for everything they've 4 done in the past year and a half. It has been a 5 breath of fresh air to see a Board care so much 6 about a Township. Going through the procedures, 7 helping people, anyone that comes in, you address, 8 and I really appreciate that. 9 I'd just like to make a few facts. 10 Waste Management, the Applicant, provides a service 11 to everyone in this room. 12 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) 13 MR. ALBANESE: Yes, they do. 14 collect our waste. 15 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) 16 MR. ALBANESE: We produce -- whether 17 they collect it or someone else collects it, we 18 still produce waste, and it has to go somewhere. 19 And it has gone in that landfill for 70 years. 20 The next -- the Solid Waste District 21 is the smallest zoning district in the Township. It 22 will probably remain the smallest zoning district in 23 the Township. The current one is a little over 360 They're only using about 110, maybe 130. 2.4

They're using a third of it. In the expansion,

25

they're asking for 211 acres for all of the infrastructure. 81 of it will be a landfill. It will still remain a small area of the Township.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just want the Board to know that you have a lot of support, way beyond what's in this room tonight, and I hope you understand that.

And a couple of people tonight said this Township will change. It will change. You can't stop change. Change always happens. there's a different kind of change. This landfill has allowed this Township to remain a rural community, as everyone has so eloquently said here tonight about how they appreciate this community. We've had tremendous growth to the south, we've had a lot of growth to the north, but we have remained a rural community because I think that was the wishes of this Township a long time ago. And the only company that has allowed this to happen and remain the way we are is the landfill because you have gotten revenues to support the way these people want to live in this community, and that's very important to understand.

If the landfill does close, I really think the community will face a lot of changes. As I have stated earlier at other meetings, you'll

1 probably get about \$1,000 a home, a singe-family 2 home. As Mr. Layman said, if you're short about \$1.7 million a year, you're probably going to have 3 to build about 2,000 homes. So 2,000 homes, however 4 5 many acres you're going to use -- 2,000 acres, 6 3,000, 4,000 acres -- that's just for homes just to 7 try to equal that revenue. 8 Industrial growth, yes. Do we need 9 some? But do we want to take over the farm 10 and forest area to put national growth in? Expand 11 sewer, expand water services. The Township will 12 It will become like Forks Township. When I 13 grew up, Forks Township was like Plainfield 14 Township. Forks Township took a different route. 15 They decided they wanted growth. They have 16 tremendous growth. Plainfield took a different 17 path. 18 And I hope you vote yes because I hope 19 this Township can remain the way it is. Thank you. 20 MR. FIELD: Carol Zellman. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm not going 22 to speak at the mic, but I'm definitely against it, 23 and I --2.4 MS. STEFANELLI: You need to have a

microphone for the record because we have a

25

stenographer.

2.0

2.2

MS. BEAHM: Good evening. My name is Millie Beahm. I've been asked to read two letters. Ms. Zellman had presented a letter, and she didn't feel she wanted to read it herself. And I also have one from Debra Ubal (phonetic). I'll read Debra's first because it's shorter.

Dear Members of the Plainfield
Township Board of Supervisors, it is hard to even
know what to say at this point about the situation.
Myself and my family are strongly opposed to the new
landfill that Waste Management has proposed on the
land across from the existing landfill. It is such
a beautiful area. Rezoning it to a solid waste from
the current farm and forest would be so detrimental
to the environment, to all those who must live near
it, and to our entire Township as a whole.

Plainfield Township has taken our fair share of garbage. I implore you to follow the recommendations that have been made by our own Planning Commission and the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission. Strategic Solutions, the outside planning agency hired by the Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors, also documented their recommendation against removing the conditional use

regulations. The people who have given these recommendations are highly educated in land use and are clearly telling the Board of Supervisors that this is just wrong.

Your job is to protect the residents of this Township, not to help a multi-billion dollar corporation to get richer. Please don't sacrifice us to accomplish that.

Sincerely and with great concern, Debra Ubal and family.

The letter from Ms. Zellman:

Dear Plainfield Township Board of Supervisors, we live in close proximity to the landfill on Pen Argyl Road, and we are absolutely against the proposed rezoning requested by Waste Management. The rezoning would allow for a new landfill. This expansion and continued waste operations will mean the continuation of the following: Nauseating smell, dump truck traffic, garbage blowing and landing on our property, i.e. plastic garbage bags and other wind-carried debris, rodents, risk -- health risk concerns.

The landfill has affected our quality of life and, we believe, decreased our property value. The offensive odor has woken us up in the

middle of the night many times. We are often unable to open our windows or rushing to close them due to the terrible smell that is so strong it causes headaches and makes your eyes burn.

2.0

We used to spend a lot of time outdoors and enjoy outdoor entertaining, but the smell can become overwhelming, so we limit the time spent outside. The smell of garbage-mist fragrance it used to cover the odor gets worse over time, most noticeably at night.

For the past several years, my neighbors and I have made numerous phone calls to report the stench to Pennsylvania DEP and Waste Management. Hopefully, those reports were logged appropriately. We have had no choice but to purchase rodent traps to control rats and mice coming from the dump, and we are constantly trying to refill holes they dig around our foundation.

Recently, we attended a student event at Bangor School, and everyone there could smell Pen Argyl's dump. It's even worse for our children at Pen Argyl schools, which are so much closer. It's awful. It's embarrassing. It's disgusting.

Waste Management is scheduled to close, as they should be nearing capacity, which

would allow the local residents the ability to be
able to enjoy spending time outdoors again instead
of running for cover when the odor becomes
overwhelming. We are already at risk for diseases

5 and cancers since living so close to the current

6 | landfill.

The Waste Management Grand Central
Sanitary Landfill is not too far from Pen Argyl,
Washington Township, and Wind Gap. It is usually
windy here, and garbage and the smell is easily
carried in the wind. It is a bad location for a
dump to begin with, and the thought of expanding it
is ridiculous. The folks that make dump or sludge
decisions regarding the best interests for
Plainfield and Pen Argyl residents should also
reside within a one-mile radius of said location for
several years before they can make any decisions
regarding an expansion or a new rezoned area for
solid waste. How many neighboring residents would
be in favor of a large area of farmland getting
destroyed by another mountain of garbage?

Today, we can see the dump from our backyard. It wasn't visible when we first moved here in 2006, but it grew into a mountain of garbage. Never, ever did we think they would

1 consider expansion, a new dump across the street 2 from the current location. Please help us fight 3 this. 4 We also have many farmers in our area 5 who may not have been aware of the dump's plan for 6 expanding. Please support preserving the farmland 7 and say no to Waste Management. 8 Just as the Lehigh Valley Planning 9 Commission advised to the Plainfield Supervisors, 10 Waste Management net worth as of August 18, 2025, is 11 91.3 -- 37 billion. With that amount of money, they 12 could find a location away from a residential area, 13 allowing families to raise their children in a safe, 14 clean environment. No amount of money can cure you 15 from cancer or disease. Please vote no to Waste 16 Management. Regards, Mr. And Ms. Zellman and 17 18 family. 19 Thank you. 2.0 MR. FIELD: Millie? 21 MS. STEFANELLI: I just need Ubal's 2.2 I'm sorry. Ubal's first name? first name. 23 MS. BEAHM: Deb Ubal. 24 MS. STEFANELLI: That's all I needed.

25

Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Annie Fehnel. Frank Fehnel. Brittany Dayton. Leeym Kessler.

MR. KESSLER: Hello, everyone. My name is Leeym Kessler. I live on 946 Bocce Club Road. I have lived there for approximately six months now, and to say the least, I am very proud of the apartment that me and my wonderful girlfriend — she didn't want to step up — but we have been renting this apartment for six months now, and we've grown to love this place a lot. We've always wanted this apartment. We've tried getting it before in the past. Unfortunately, financially, I wasn't able to achieve that goal at the time, but I'm happy to say that now, we have been able to achieve said goal.

But if you're not aware, 946 Bocce Club Road is located right within the rezoning area. We are right across from the dump. But I am opposed to the rezoning of the dump.

But I just want to take a moment to at least acknowledge the Waste Management staff and everything they do for the community. You guys do a great job, and I don't want that to be unacknowledged. You guys do a lot for the community. You try to keep it clean as best you

1 can, and that I appreciate.

2.0

But that's all I have to say, and I just want to thank you for your time.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Jeff Stout.

MR. STOUT: Hello. Jeff Stout, Albert Road. I just want to thank you for the opportunity to speak my piece here about this landfill expansion.

About four years ago, my wife and I started to come to the Supervisor meetings and Planning Commission meetings. We've lived in this Township about forty years, and I just figured it was about time we started getting more involved and learned more about the Township and how things work. And it's been quite an education. A lot of things go on that a lot of people don't realize, I think. And this landfill rezoning has been the longest-standing issue.

So if we were here tonight talking about a new landfill, like some of the other people, I'd probably be opposed. But this isn't a new landfill. It's an expansion of an existing landfill using infrastructure that's in place, a landfill that been -- 70 years. A landfill that's been very compliant, very much regulated by the DEP, very

compliant. And I think there have been very few infractions on their DEP permit.

2.0

2.4

So, like I said, this operation has been proven to be DEP compliant and beneficial to the entire Slate Belt area, and Waste Management's commitment to the community is evident in all the funding and support they give to local businesses, the volunteer fire companies, the food banks, the police K-9 forces, the schools, and the other various organizations. It seems like if there's ever a need and someone asks — if they ask Waste Management, they respond quickly and with a lot of generosity.

So as with any business that has a potential impact on the environment, Waste

Management is strictly regulated by the DEP, and,
like I said, their violations have been minimal over the years.

And to some folks that think -- have the perception that they're major polluters and getting away with it -- granted, there's times when the landfill has odor. We've heard that. A lot of people think there's a lot of odor, and I don't live that close to it, so I'm not -- I do smell it at times when I drive by, but you got to think about,

they're disposing of a few thousand tons of trash a day. I think it's reasonable to think that there's going to be some odor, and their odor mitigation systems may not be 100 percent effective, but I think they do the best job that they can.

As far as their discharge water and pollution that way, the discharge water is monitored and connected directly to the DEP through a computer system, so if there's a violation, the DEP knows about it, perhaps sometimes before they even know about it on the site. So, personally, I don't think they're in violation, I don't think they're getting away with anything, and I don't think they're violating the permit.

So you've heard a lot about health risks, as well, and the folks that have experienced cancer — the one gentleman mentioned his wife has thyroid cancer, and I feel for you. I have cancer that touched my family, and it's a nasty disease. But yet I don't know or I've never seen anywhere it's directly been tied to the landfill.

As I've mentioned before, this area has a lot of radon gas from the shale and the slate, and I often wonder if that's part of the issue.

Personally, I think it is. I've got a lot of radon

in my house, and I had to put a system in. But what concerns me is, you know, the health risk concerns or accusations that it comes from the landfill. I don't understand how that can be a true accusation when you have workers from Waste Management working on an open and active site of the dump. And some of them have been there for 30 years, and they're not sick. They don't have cancer. So I'm not sure — in my opinion — how valid a concern the health risks are.

In looking at the potential landfill closure having a major impact on the entire Slate Belt community, you know, we're told that we need to just be concerned with our Township, but I think if you talk to Pen Argyl Borough residents, Council Members — and same for Wind Gap. Wind Gap had received \$837,000 in '24, and Pen Argyl about \$903,000, plus both municipalities get free trash pick up. I think if the landfill closes, obviously, everybody's going to need to pay for their trash removal, and both municipalities, both Boroughs there, are going to see that funding go away, so I think that they're going to find that the donations — the Hosting Agreements, whatever — from Waste Management have been very beneficial to

both of those communities. And along with our Township, I think Pen Argyl and Wind Gap are going to suffer just as much if the landfill should be closed.

2.2

We've heard talks about Susquehanna Accounting and Strategic Solutions, whether or not it was right to hire them. Personally, I think it was right to hire them. It's an outside interest, unbiased opinion. I think that was a good idea.

The last thing I'd like to say is that the Supervisors seem to have placed a lot of faith in the trust fund. That's our golden parachute. But I did a Right-to-Know and got the 2024 year-end financial report in the portfolio summary on the trust fund, and on that report, it shows that the performance of that fund, from November 13th until the end of 2024, has been a mere 1.45 percent. And by my calculations, if that percentage and growth and the money going into that fund remains the same, by the end of 2029, you're looking at \$18 million, just shy of \$18 million in that trust fund.

If I had \$18 million, I'd be really happy. For a Township with an \$8 million budget, I don't see that that's going to go very far. And when that money is dipped into and that money goes

1 away, the only way they're going to make that up is 2. to start taxing. Taxing, taxing, taxing. We're all just going to pay a lot more taxes for what I feel 3 is a small percentage of people that are opposed to 4 5 the landfill. 6 So I ask that you vote yes to the 7 rezoning --8 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) 9 MR. STOUT: -- proactive and develop 10 an Economic Development Committee, and --11 MR. FIELD: Wrap it up. 12 MR. STOUT: -- come up with a written 13 plan to be overly proactive, and 20 years from now, 14 the expansion is ready to close, and you'll be set. 15 Things will be in place. 16 Thank you. Hope Cotturo. MR. FIELD: 17 MS. COTTURO: Good evening. My name 18 is Hope Cotturo, and I'm here with my husband, 19 Christopher Cotturo. We are residents of Washington 20 Township. We live off of Pen Argyl Road -- off of 21 Mill Road, in a development right off of Mill Road. 22 As the crow flies, we're a mile or less away from 23 the dump. 24 We oppose the new dump because it's 25 really not an expansion. It's a new dump.

Purchasing of new land, new facilities, new holes, new mountains.

2.3

I would ask the Board to really consider not only Plainfield Township but the surrounding areas and really try to get the other municipalities involved in the decision-making. Similar to when there was no Regional Police Department, it was a scary time to let go of the reins and to bring other people and to form something that would benefit not just one community, but many communities.

As we all know, the Slate Belt
Regional Police Department has been a wonderful
asset, but in the beginning, I'm sure it was a scary
time for all of the townships to renege what was
once their only decision — they were the only
Township responsible for their policemen and
policewomen.

So, again, my husband and I are not in favor of the new dump, and we would ask you to -- really implore you to vote no. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Lisa Rodger. J.R. Renna.

MR. RENNA: I'm reading on behalf of Lisa. Lisa Rodger, who was unable to attend while she tends to a family matter, submits this letter:

2.2

Dear Supervisors, I'm writing as a deeply concerned resident of Plainfield Township who has previously submitted written comments and spoke at public meetings regarding the proposed expansion of Waste Management. I'm compelled again to express my strong opposition to the expansion and related rezoning from farm and forest to solid waste disposal.

The proposal poses significant irreversible risks to public health, environmental integrity, and the rural character that defines our community.

The landfill is already uncomfortably too close to residential neighborhoods, with families living just one or two miles from its perimeter. My own daughter suffers from asthma, and she is now being evaluated for hematology oncology with uncertainty over what we're dealing with, a benign blood disorder or something more serious, such as chronic lymphomatic [sic] leukemia. Some studies have suggested a possible link between CLL and exposure to landfill gases, including volatile organic compounds and other pollutants. For my family, this is not abstract; it is deeply personal.

Expanding a landfill that emits

methane VOCs and hydrogen sulfide gases that are known to exacerbate respiratory and immune system conditions is unacceptable and irresponsible.

Environmentally, this rezoning is just reckless. It would convert over 200 acres of farm and forest land that includes steep slopes, wetlands, and farmlands into industrial use. Weakening steep slope protections and disturbing sensitive land threatens erosion, storm water runoff, groundwater contamination, and permanent ecological damage.

Even more troubling is the proposed change to landfill operations from a conditional use, which allows oversight and public input, to a Permitted-By-Right Use. This effectively silences the community and removes critical safeguards.

Residents successfully opposed the Synagro Sludge Drying facility, and removing Conditional Use protections would make future harmful proposals far more difficult to challenge.

The Lehigh Valley Planning Commission has been clear in its recommendations. LVPC strongly urges the Supervisors to listen and weigh heavily the recommendations of Plainfield Township Planning Commission. LVPC also encourages

Plainfield Supervisors to work with neighboring municipalities, including Washington Township and Pen Argyl Borough, who are directly impacted by this

decision due to their proximity.

2.3

LVPC Commission Chairman, Christopher Amato, summed it up perfectly when he stated: So Plainfield has borne the burden of our solid waste for a long time, long enough. Long enough. The voting public has spoken, and on many levels, this is just wrong.

Despite guidance from LVPC and the Plainfield Township Planning Commission, the Supervisors have allowed Strategic Solutions, a private for-profit company they hired, to present their findings at the August 13th public meeting while completely ignoring and failing to acknowledge publicly the professional malicies (phonetic) of both the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission and the Plainfield Township Planning Commission. Not one Supervisor attended the LVPC meeting where Plainfield Township Supervisors were openly criticized for ignoring expert guidance, regional and local planning standards, and public input from both their own community as well as surrounding communities.

It is clear that the current push for expansion is driven entirely by potential financial gains from the landfill without consideration of alternative revenue sources or ways to strengthen the Township's finances responsibly. Prioritizing money from a single private company over public health, environmental protection, and community welfare is irresponsible and short-sighted.

The landfill is already the subject of a multi-municipality class action lawsuit regarding odors, declining quality of life, and health impacts. Expanding operations now, while residents are already experiencing harm, is reckless and demonstrates a blatant disregard for this community.

While it has been stated that those speaking against this project do not represent the majority, that is open to personal interpretation. What is especially troubling is, the voices supporting this expansion seem to come mostly from employees or former employees of Waste Management, business partners, or individuals who have financially benefitted from the company, such as those who have sold their homes to Waste Management.

When support is motivated primarily by personal gain rather than genuine concern for our

1 community, it is hard to take these endorsements seriously and emphasizes the need for Supervisors to 2 put the well-being of long-term residents first. 3 4 For all these reasons, Plainfield Township Supervisors must vote no on the proposed 5 rezoning request and landfill expansion. The health 6 of our families, the integrity of our environment, 7 and the future of our Township must take precedence 8 over the financial interests of a single private 9 10 waste corporation. 11 Sincerely, Lisa Rodger, Plainfield 12 Township resident. 13 MR. FIELD: You're up next. 14 MR. RENNA: Okay. I have some items 15 that I'll enter into the record. I'll provide 16 them -- a copy for both of you at the end of my 17 remarks if that's okay. 18 MR. FIELD: Sure. 19 MR. ROWLEY: I would just -- we would 20 request a copy of anything that's submitted into the 21 record as well. 22 MR. RENNA: Sure. 23 My name is J.R. Renna. I live two miles away from the dump in Plainfield Township, and 2.4

the odors reach my property regularly.

25

A few days ago, Waste Management posted on social media, inviting folks to attend this meeting to wear your green shirts. The post said, and I quote: Communities thrive when every voice is heard.

Comments on that Facebook post were disabled. For me, this sums up the approach Waste Management and some of our Supervisors have taken when it comes to this rezoning. A shiny PR campaign built on hypocritical and disingenuous motives. First, wear your green shirt. Is this a pep rally for a football game?

I want to say first that I wish no ill will on the workers who might be impacted by this closure, and I hope that Waste Management is compensating you for your time tonight. But I'm sorry, this is not a game for us.

This kind of question is: What do we want to leave behind for our kids and our grandkids? Waste Management wants to replace farm and forest land that Plainfield Township website calls to preserve with a pile of trash while they deposit a pile of cash on their bottom line.

Communities thrive when we come together. Well, why does Waste Management keep

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

driving us apart? They have already received three major expansions over the years, each with spirited debate, but they've asked now for even more. Their most recent attempt was denied by the Board of Supervisors, who listened to our community in 2020.

I can share an example of how our community actually did come together: signatures against rezoning collected via our change.org petition. As of 5 p.m. this evening, we're up to, like, 434 now. 53 in the last week leading up to this meeting. And I'm telling you, the more that people find out about what's going on, the more signatures we get. Some of the comments left on our petition: Don Moore from Stockertown said: With 16 million in the trust fund, 4 million in the general fund, and 7 million in the open space fund, the Board of Supervisors should be able to figure out how to transition the Township without relying on the landfill for income. Has it tried to map a way to do this?

Or Jeanine Brown (phonetic) from Pen Argyl, who said: Please vote as the residents who elected you desire, rather than thinking about the fiscal impact to the Township. We are aware of the implications and still seek no further or additional expansion of the landfill.

I submit these signatures and comments from our change.org petition to be entered into the record.

Waste Management's post called for all voices to be heard, but they didn't want you to hear their voices as they met privately with Supervisors. Preserveplainfield.org obtained emails through Right-to-Know requests revealing Waste Management representatives pushing to meet with Plainfield Township Supervisors in secret to conceal their discussions from the public. They specifically asked to meet with Supervisors in groups of two or less to avoid a quorum so they would not be subject to the Sunshine Act, a law that exists to preserve transparency in government to the public.

To be clear, we're not accusing Waste Management or Plainfield Township Supervisors of violating this Act, but we are observing that those efforts were made to skirt the provisions of the Sunshine Act. I will submit that full email conversation for the record.

I will also say for the record, at the Planning Commission meetings earlier this winter,
Waste Management said 35 employees would be impacted

by tonight -- would be impacted, but tonight, now they claim over 220. Just another example that should garner skepticism.

And for the record, the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission was right when they said: This is just wrong.

I submit to all here tonight that everything about the way Waste Management has approached this and how some of the Supervisors have responded to this stinks. No amount of cardboard checks for our community organizations can cover up that stink. I believe our community is too smart to fall for those cardboard checks. We see right through that, and we will not take the bait.

I believe our community deserves what Waste Management promised in their post: A sustainable future. And I also believe the only way to guarantee that is to listen to the community and deny this rezoning request.

MR. FIELD: Robin Dingle.

MS. DINGLE: Hello. Robin Dingle,
2012 Delabole Road. I've talked or spoken at
several other of the Board meetings, so I'm going to
focus on some different things tonight.

First, both attorneys started the

2.0

meeting with sort of a documentation of records that have been reviewed. So I just want to clarify that. The results or recommendation from the PC, there was never any mention of the Special Meetings -- PC meetings minutes, correct? They were not provided. They were not recorded at that time. When the recommendation from the PC was requested by the Board of Supervisors, the meeting minutes were not yet completed or provided for reference for the Planning Commission to compile that recommendation.

Did the Board Members attend the special planning meetings? No, not all of them. Some did for some meetings. Was a stenographer provided or offered to the Planning Commission during Special Meetings? No. So in effect, there is no documentation for any of the records here of all the effort and conversations put into the Special Meetings with the Planning Commission. Yet Waste Management has come here and once again been offered an opportunity to talk to and present everything to the Board. Was that offered to the Planning Commission to come and summarize their thoughts and justify and go over their recommendations with the Board? No.

So with that said, I just want to go

through some of -- the other thing I wanted to mention -- sorry. I'm trying to take notes as we're going through the meeting.

2.3

There was reference to the ordinances that we're reviewing tonight and on September 10th were sent out basically on August 13th to make the two-week notice for this meeting. The Planning Commission's review of the ordinances, the meeting was August 18th. So basically, the August 18th meeting, which we then provided meeting minutes to on August 21st, has not been or ever considered for anything regarding the ordinances. The ordinances were published as-is prior to the Planning Commission's meeting, so, therefore, none of those recommendations were considered by the Board.

I want to just go through some of the Planning Commission recommendations on that. We go through detailed bullets of why we denied -- the vote was 3-to-1 for all three ordinances. And again, a lot of this is for the public record since apparently we don't have any real Special Meetings or documentation of the Planning Commission meetings.

Basically, the Planning Commission supports and asks that the Board, prior to making a

final decision, listen to and follow the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission's recommendation. And I'm going to do this in quotes: Asking the Township Supervisors to follow the process outlined in the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code to fund and support the planning -- Township Planning Commission to develop, utilizing a neutral data-driven approach, and conduct an environmental study of the impact of the potential expansion of zoning for landfill uses. Zoning is one of the most important, powerful tools that any Planning Commission, in partnership with the local government leadership, has. Money matters are important. However, they are far from the only impact of zoning change. Broad, comprehensive review of major known potential impacts is critical to making prudent decisions in the interest of the community, and it is the obligation of elected officials when assessing and addressing change through land use laws. End of quote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For those in attendance at the meeting on August 13th when Strategic Solutions presented their study, it was evident that their memo or their scope was very limited. They did not consider the whole berth of environmental impacts, public health,

cumulative impacts, public concerns and opinion, availability of alternative sites, and historic land use agreements between the Township and Waste Management.

2.

2.0

Based on the results of the numerous Special Meetings and input from surrounding townships, the local residents, as well as the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission, and the fact that the Township has time to make this critical decision, the landfill is still operating for the next three to four years.

The PC strongly recommended that the Board allow the PC to oversee contracting of appropriate subject experts and completion of the following: A Public Health Assessment and Environmental Impact Study. Again, the PC requested the Board that, if there was not sufficient time to complete the studies and it's inclined to move forward and actually vote or approve the request tonight, the PC provided an opportunity -- the PC requested that they were provided with an opportunity to review the ordinances in another monthly meeting to provide comments and recommendation. The recommendations are currently proposed language --

1 MR. FIELD: Wrap it up, please. 2 MS. DINGLE: The last thing I want to 3 bring up, then, is the fact that, at the Planning 4 Commission meeting, there was a discussion that the landfill that they're asking to be rezoned includes 5 6 18 properties, of which several are not necessary 7 and are actually in the green space. Also, there 8 was asked -- it's been indicated in these meetings 9 that the current land owner has indicated --10 MR. FIELD: Finish up, please. 11 MS. DINGLE: I've got two bullets. 12 The current landowner has indicated to Waste 13 Management it's an all-or-nothing purchase. 14 other words, they're going to sell the 212 acres or 15 nothing. Why does that affect us? That's between 16 the landfill and the landowner -- the Township and 17 the landowner. What my question is, why isn't the 18 Township considering to actually go in and subdivide 19 and rezone only the areas critical to the landfill 2.0 and not the surrounding areas? Why are we zoning 212 acres when that's not necessary? 21 22 MR. FIELD: Thank you. Two minute 23 recess. 24 (A recess was taken.) 25 MR. FIELD: Everyone, please take your

seats. Next up at the podium, Dan Barker. No Dan Barker. Let's move on. Kathy McBride. Maria Heckman. William Heckman. Robert Krome.

MR. KROME: All right. Good evening.

My name is Bob Krome. I'm a resident of Plainfield

Township -- proud resident of Plainfield Township.

No matter the vote, I will always remain a proud

member of Plainfield Township.

opposition to the proposed ordinance changes that would allow for the expansion of the Waste Management landfill. These changes to steep slope protections, the shift from conditional to by-right use, and the rezoning from farm and forest to solid waste represent permanent sweeping alterations to our land use policy. They are being pushed forward in a rushed and opaque manner, in my opinion, with long-term consequences that have not been fully studied or understood.

While I recognize that the pending ordinance doctrine does not directly apply to legislative actions like rezoning, the principle behind it is still relevant. That doctrine exists to protect communities from developers and municipalities who attempt to rush major land use

decisions while rules are in flux. If Pennsylvania Law recognizes the need to pause projects when zoning changes are pending, then surely this Board can acknowledge that it is irresponsible to push through those very zoning changes without full transparency, review, and public/professional/neutral input.

2.4

The landfill expansion is currently not permitted under our zoning laws, and these proposed changes appear designed solely to benefit a private company. Yes, I understand that job loss at the site may be a concern in the future, but short-term economic pressure cannot justify sacrificing environmental protections, land use integrity, or public trust.

This proposal is in direct conflict with the recommendations of the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission, the position of the Plainfield Township Planning Commission, the Comprehensive Plan that Plainfield has long followed — and may I add that there is always an argument that folks who move here kind of, quote, knew what they were getting into. But if you moved here, and up until not too long ago, the understanding was that people fought for decades, wrote ordinances and rules and

regulations for decades to prevent something like this from happening again. Thus, folks didn't really move to this area knowing what they were getting into because they thought that the landfill was closing, not expanding.

2.2

The clearly-expressed will of the people, as seen in the most recent election, where anti-landfill candidates won by a landslide, not a landfill. See what I did there?

In the strong opposition voiced by neighboring communities, I strongly encourage the Board to halt this vote and take the following steps before considering any such irreversible change.

Again, commission an independent Environmental Impact Study, conduct a comprehensive Financial Impact Analysis -- I understand there was one done, but I really like the suggestion that Mike had made earlier, Mr. Smith -- provide the public with sufficient time to and access to participate in the process in a transparent manner.

Finally, to my fellow residents -and, if she's still here, to the young lady who is
here on a field trip -- super cool, by the way -- I
would say that this moment is a reminder that local
politics matter. The outcome of local elections,

the voices raised in township meetings, and the engagement of everyday citizens are what shape the future of our community. Please continue to pay attention, speak up, and hold leadership accountable.

2.0

2.2

I respectfully urge the Board to act with integrity, follow the example of the planning bodies and the will of the voters, and delay this vote until the necessary due diligence is completed. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Meghan Galasso. Linda Biondo.

MS. BIONDO: Hi, everyone. I'm Linda. I live in Plainfield Township. I wasn't planning on speaking. I signed a paper out there thinking, oh, you sign it to come in. But here I am because I'm very passionate about my house, my area.

Plainfield Township is a beautiful area. The reason I purchased my land initially is because it was just beautiful open land, animals. It was just -- I could breathe. But then, I moved to Pen Argyl for a couple of years before I built my house, and the smell was atrocious. I could not believe it. And I was concerned, when I bought my house in Plainfield, that it would have that same

issue. Well, they have a little bit of an issue, but it is still pretty good there.

But the issue I have with this is, look at these poor people who live right by the dump. They have to deal with that every single day. When I heard about rats and then the transparency — this is the first time that I'm at a meeting, and I don't think it's going to be the last because I'm really upset at what's happening.

I mean, this is a beautiful area that is just going to be taken over by a dump. There's so many opportunities. You have to just look at other -- like they said, be creative. Think about different ways to use our land. There's just other things we can do to preserve it, to bring an income in. But you're not giving them the chance. You're just giving in to a dump.

It's just not right. It's not appropriate. And I really -- I'm really hoping you make the right decision. You know, you've got to think about all these people. It's not a slam dunk. It should be a slam dunk, but it's not. I know you're still thinking through this. But really, when you look at all these people that expressed all their feelings, their emotions, everything they've

gone through to make this place a beautiful place, why would you take that away?

I think people would be willing to pay more money, but there's opportunities to even, you know, look at the profitability of different things that they could do in the area.

So I'm really hoping that you make the right decision. Look at the people here. Look at them. Look at this difference and this difference. So make a good decision. I oppose this.

What I'm saying is, you guys, I understand it's a job. You have to do what's right. But we have to do what's right for us and our families. It's important for us to have good health, quality of life, a place where we can breathe, go outside, garden. So that's what I'm hoping, that you guys make the right decision. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Tighe Scott.

MR. SCOTT: Hello. Sorry about -- can you hear? All right. First of all, people in here aren't the enemy. People sitting here with the green shirts -- yellow shirts, whatever they are -- but they have a job to do. A lot of them are friends of mine. I know a lot of them. They're all

good people. They do the same kind of work that personally I do and I've done all my life, labor. It's stuff that people don't want to do.

2.4

But this is going to be a job that's going to keep going on, no matter if this landfill is here or not. You may be wearing a different shirt -- like a lot of my employees, they used to have A. Scott Enterprises shirts, and a lot of them are wearing different shirts now. But that's what happens in life. You got to move on. Some people move on and they find a job somewhere else that does the same thing or equivalent to what they're doing.

But, you know, we listened here tonight to a conversation that took an hour to listen to -- this table tell us everything they know about landfills. Everything. And with that said -- and all they've done for this community.

But now, I'd like to know -- you are being charged, this Board -- and I have a lot of respect for everyone here. We may have a difference of agreement, but we can have a respectful disagreement. And I feel that the intelligence on this side of the table -- so this is the Planning Commission here -- is superior to the experience of what you are being asked to be charged on a decision

on this side of the table. Because there's nobody with the experience on this side of the table that can match the experience on this side of the table, and you're being charged — and it's not disrespectful, me saying that. I'm trying to tell you what you're up against, and what you have to realize what you're up against.

2.0

These people do this for a living.

They get paid a lot of money. They spend all their time -- in fact, I'm disappointed, actually, that with all this intelligence in the waste industry that's being generated and will continue to be generated, that it's still done like the cavemen do it. They dump it on a dump, and they cover it with garbage, and it stinks. And they put a liner under it, and they say it's good for years. How long?

I've got a roof -- I mean, things wear out. Things fall apart. So who knows how long a liner lasts anyway?

And proportionally, what our neighbors are being asked to absorb is unproportionably way out of proportion to the community that it's being taken from. What we generate compared to where you're taking it from -- I see trailers coming in there now with bags -- like, they bag it, they seal

1 | it.

And the other thing is, if you really -- from Waste Management -- want to generate something, why don't you find a place to put it in your host community that doesn't affect the other communities as much? You know, the geographically -- you know, if you go south and west, you'd be in a lot better place with less -- disturbing less people than where you're going to put it now.

So if you were doing your job here at this table -- and you say that you know how to do everything, because we've heard that for an hour. That's why we're here until after 9:00 -- and you're doing your job, well, then put it somewhere else. Don't put it in our backyard.

MR. FIELD: Gene Rissmiller. Gail Weber.

MS. WEBER: Hi. My name is Gail
Weber. I grew up in Plainfield Township. I've
lived in Wind Gap, and I've also lived in Pen Argyl.
I've known the dump since I was little, and it has
gotten worse. And I thought it was going to close,
and a lot of people did. In fact, I thought they
were going to put a golf course on top of it, but

1 | they never did.

2.0

About a month ago, I was driving through Lycoming County, and we came upon a big landfill out in the middle of nowhere, where there was nobody. There were no houses. It looked like it was open land. And there was a sign there, and it said: 9 1/2 miles to Williamsport. I said: Wow, this is a good landfill. Because it wasn't near anybody.

My solution, first of all, is that I can see these people need a job, and they're good at it, and they are probably -- Waste Management should figure out where to put another landfill that is at least ten miles from a community because it does smell. And nobody -- they won't want to live there. You wouldn't want to live near it. So why put people through this?

The other concern I have tonight -- I was looking for something similar to what we have to see how they handle it. And unfortunately, I found a landfill that is in Yukon, Pennsylvania. I don't know if the Board ever heard of that, but it's been there since 1965, and it's in a rural community. And unfortunately, it was causing problems for decades, and then they started using -- taking in

fracking waste. Okay.

2.0

It says: For decades, residents have raised the alarm about the 160-acre landfill impact on the town, blaming its operations for serious harms to their health, their children, their animals, their waterways, and their land. They say that exposure to the pollution from the landfill has led to more cancer, miscarriages, respiratory distress, and neurological diseases. Over the three generations since the landfill opened in 1964, they've endured odors, dust, noise, and spills. They've watched their neighbors fall ill, die, or move out, and they live in fear for their own health. And the U.S. Environmental Agency ranks Yukon higher for key health problems like cancer and heart disease than state and national averages.

So I'm reading this, and it says that, in addition to taking in the waste they do, its MAX Environmental's Yukon site is one of more than 25 landfills in Pennsylvania that accept the solid waste that comes from oil and gas drilling. Yukon is a small town of a few hundred people, but the problems at the landfill are indicative of a national crisis. Oil and gas companies and the government agencies responsible for regulating them

have never fully reckoned with it, in part, because the industries successfully lobbied for federal regulations that exempt most of its waste from stricter rules that govern hazardous -- what they said -- hazardous waste.

Okay. So I read on down, and I won't read the whole article, but it said how much was generated in 2023 and that the waste poses enormous regulatory challenges for state and federal authorities because it's highly toxic and often radioactive.

So I turned the page, and there's a map from the Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Protection, and guess whose landfill is one of the 25 that accepts it? It's showing me that Waste Management does in Northampton County, and it's on this map. And I'm like, do we accept gas and oil waste? It's listed here, and I don't know. I didn't know. I'm asking.

Well, anyway, my point is that the problem is that this landfill was bad to begin with, and then they started taking in the oil and the gas waste from the wells that they were drilling, that they've been drilling.

I'm concerned for the future because

2.0

if this expansion goes in and it gets to the point where they need to do this, will that be one of the things that they're going to be able to do? Because this community has gotten radioactive contamination now in the water. I didn't say that we have it here, but I'm concerned for the future of the community. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Justin Huratiak.

MR. HURATIAK: Justin Huratiak, 416

O W Road. I've heard a lot of numbers here tonight, and we've heard a lot of the talk about the impact, but I think we need to talk about the accountability. And more often than not, I think we overlook the accountability and who's accountable.

So as the Waste Management employees are here, you guys do a great job. The Waste Management supporters are here. This dump has been here for 70 years. It has impacted this community. It has provided a lot of food and put a lot of food on people's tables. It has provided 50-something million dollars of impact to the school here, 30-something million's going to the Plainfield Township directly. Yet here we stand talking about the lack of funds to support this Township. And

after \$32 million, we're still in a deficit, where this Board decided to raise taxes 2 mils to cover a deficit. And I truly think that the accountability is on this Board tonight.

2.2

So we've heard a lot of testimony about how this has been rushed, how the Planning Commission has ruled against it, how Lehigh Valley Planning Commission now has revised their letter to include not proceeding with this. We've heard a lot of testimony previously that your predecessors have gone through the task of defining the Solid Waste District and our fair share in this Township. And to throw all that aside to expand a new dump and call it an expansion because of — one road separates it from being spot zoning or a completely new variance — which, the hardship could not be proven. I think the accountability falls on your shoulders.

Washington Township, though it sent letters and has no standing at this hearing, will certainly take action if it goes to the DEP and try to provide whatever input we can. But we smell it.

We've had to take legal action as a class action lawsuit that is still pending. This Township has done nothing for those residents that

took that action, and the DEP has done nothing for those residents that took that action. And yet here we stand, talking about how great of an impact this has been.

Furthermore, Washington Township has a balanced budget. And I say this because I'm a Supervisor. We have — there was talks about cutting the police force. Our contract meets or exceeds yours. Our Public Works Department are some of the highest-paid and have been for years. We don't have the revenue. We have one year's liquidity in reserves from our budget. We balance our budget. We're fiduciarily responsible with taxpayers' money, and you're tasked with that same duty.

And honestly, as we hear this testimony of everybody that's been against it and it's been pushed through -- and this has been pushed through -- you know, your Officers and Directors Insurance is not going to cover you. When these meeting minutes and this dictation is sent to this insurance company and says you guys blatantly disregarded all this to push it through, and you have not even tried to balance your budget, I think you guys are exposing yourself.

1 2

You want to talk financial? You're exposing yourself personally to litigation when your own insurance is not going to cover you because you haven't done your due diligence. And you haven't done your duty. And it's gross negligence to sit here and say you're doing it for the Township when your Township is here telling you it was supposed to end, it's time to end.

Waste Management has testified it takes in 50 percent of Northampton County. Waste Management is one of the three dumps in Northampton County. Chrin takes it, Bethlehem takes it, and here, we take 40 percent out of state. This Board has increased their tonnage to allow it. Interstate commerce was what they fought. Waste Management wants to sue everybody and make sure that they get their fair share across state lines. They made their bed, they filled their dump, it's time to move on. And you have the responsibility.

MR. FIELD: Randa Barabas.

MR. BARABAS: My name is Joe Barabas.

I live at 1097 Mill Road for about 45 years. We're about two miles, I guess, due south of the landfill.

As we found out after we bought our place that there was a landfill, I was just hoping

```
1
      that our water would be good for the next 40 years.
 2
      It has been so far, but they're moving closer to the
 3
      aquifer this comes through.
                    Two things: First of all, I think
 5
      there's a misunderstanding. My garbage, I pay for,
      and it does not go to Waste Management, even though
 6
 7
      I'm two miles away. I think the Board has a
 8
      contract. Is that true?
 9
                    MS. STEFANELLI:
                                     It's JP Mascaro.
10
                    MR. BARABAS: Yeah. You set that up
11
      for all Plainfield residents, correct?
12
                    MS. STEFANELLI: Correct.
13
                    MR. BARABAS: So I pay $90 every three
14
      months for my trash, so I get no benefit from Waste
15
      Management. I just wanted to clear that up.
16
      Everybody's trash does not go there that lives in
17
      this area.
18
                    (Unidentified speaker interruption.)
19
                    Pardon?
20
                    MR. FIELD: He's got the floor.
2.1
                    MR. BARABAS: Ma'am, please.
22
                    That's my wife.
23
                    MR. FIELD: Oh, I'm sorry. My
2.4
      apologies. I thought it was a heckler.
25
                    MR. BARABAS: So the major point I
```

want to make, I just want to clarify that.

2.0

I want to ditto what our last speaker said. He did it eloquently. And also, Mike Smith. Such a great job. I can't say anything else that would do anything more to post my position in opposition to this new landfill. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Heather
Hershey. Heather Hershey? John Goffredo. Paul
Levits.

MR. LEVITS: All right. Good evening, everybody. A couple of things. I'm not going to have the intense passion that I've seen here tonight, although I agree with most of the people. It's been encouraging to hear the level of passion, though, about what everybody has to say and feel toward this.

I've been keeping a record of pro and -- for and against tonight, and right as of this point, we have 17 against, 2 letters for, 2 neutral -- Peter Layman and our future social security payer -- and we have 6 for -- in favor of, 6 in favor of. So we're running about 3-to-1 against expanding the landfill.

I've heard many times that it's the same 20 people that come out. It seems like the

community is speaking loud and clear that they do not want this expansion.

So I'm going to go back up to the thoughts that I wanted to make, and I'm not going to really add anything else to all the topics that have been presented, but I am going to try to summarize some of my thoughts about the issues that should be extremely important to all in the Township and our immediate neighbors. And some of our immediate neighbors have been here, have expressed their concern.

The health concerns, I think that's a big thing. The health concerns that have been studied and presented show the negative health effects of landfills. Now, as an applicant, if I was hearing that documentation, I would feverishly challenge those studies, but we really haven't had that. They have not. The two questions that I recall being on planning and came -- and were comments, question and comment. They said, I don't recall that study. And one was about studies coming from third-world countries.

Well, since the start of that, and digging into some of the studies, they come from all over the world. And to be perfectly honest, they

all seem to come up with about the same conclusions about what landfills emit, what landfills do, and the long-term health effects.

Then you get down to the micro, right here at local level, with the radon and landfills. There's issues, long-term issues. You hear about people not dropping dead at the landfill. This is like so many other things. It doesn't happen immediately, and it's not going to happen to everybody. We can all probably think back to people we lost to cancer because of cigarette smoking, but in most cases, it didn't happen until they were up in age. And the end is not sweet. We know that. So I don't think you're paying attention to the long-term effects for us, our children, our grandchildren, and future generations.

I keep hearing about the DEP.

Regulated by the DEP. But the DEP seems to be a little squishy on a lot of this stuff. The EPA did a study and published documentation. The EPA, quote: First, even the best liner in leachate collection systems will ultimately fail. Dot, dot, dot. It went on a little further. The newer ones will last longer, but they will fail.

What happens then? You know, I think,

by lack of resistance from the Applicant, these issues are very real and known by the Applicant, and we should not take that risk.

And then I'm going to go a little further. There seems to be a lot of favorability up on the Board for this, and you've been presented with all this important information. And again, focusing on the health issues. I sense a bit of hypocrisy there. A letter dated February 11, 2025, from John Shapiro (phonetic) about the sludge dumping, opposing the sludge dumping, for all kinds of the health risks that we are discussing here and have been discussing since this whole thing started. And I'm not going to cut into any of the details because I'm sure it's readily available somewhere. If somebody wants a copy, I'd be happy to provide it. But it's signed, Kenneth Field, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Plainfield Township.

So on the one hand, we're saying, no, we don't want toxicity. On the other hand, we're going to say, yeah, we're okay with toxicity. Toxic is toxic. It doesn't matter if it's going to kill you slowly or kill you quickly. It's still toxic in the environment. That's all I have.

MR. FIELD: Heath Wolven. Ed Wolven.

2.0

MR. WOLVEN: Ed Wolven. I own property at 1828 Delabole Road, which, my quality of life there isn't good, so I reside at another location.

2.4

They talk about different -- they talk about expansion. They say, oh, the expansion could be done to the east. How about expansion to the south? Perin's big property. I want to say Grand Central bought a piece of property. And if they call to do expansion adjacent, that's adjacent, just a different road, Delabole Road. So what stops them from going that way? It's adjacent. You're crossing a dangerous road already. If they want to, they -- somebody should do reports. There's a fatality right at that intersection, at that road. Somebody should do a better study on that road and how dangerous it is.

So since I bought my property -- I lived there for 25 years -- the qualify of life -- I decided not to live there. I asked Waste Management to come pick up all the garbage that blows out. They didn't respond. They sent some people up there, not unannounced, and I have cameras. They were in spots -- they looked for garbage -- they looked for garbage in the house. And I questioned

them, Waste Management, and asked them if that was their employees. At first, before I accused them of anything, they said yes. Then they changed it to say no. So I had a bad experience with Waste Management, not all the employees, one of them.

And I just feel that, you know, it seems like people are for it, it's for financial gain. The residents around there -- my property is adjacent to Waste Management. So, you know, what could be changed down the road?

I bought my property hoping to make a winery -- it's 50-plus acres -- and to give to my daughters. I have grown daughters. I have two daughters. They said, all the stuff that is going on, especially now, sell it. I would get a 52-acre piece of property, put a house on it.

And you worry about -- they talk about money. Believe me, I'd rather be healthy and poor than have money and be unhealthy.

And we have to worry that -- say defund the police and asked them if they were vets. Thank God they're not vets because if they were veterans, they'd probably say all that stuff that they don't need to say. Stupid conflicts and stuff. I'm not even going there. We like the police. We

want them to be well-trained. They're great. They provide a service. They worry about defunding the police. I worry about other things. I worry about the health -- does it say about, you know -- the dump is so dangerous. How about when they cap it and you've got the radon? Where does the radon go if you cap something? It's not just the pollution coming out of the dump.

2.0

And, you know, I go up to my house, and I go pick up the garbage because I don't want Waste Management coming to pick up the garbage. And they made a comment and said, well, we picked up your garbage.

No, you're not picking up my garbage; you're picking up the garbage that came out of the landfill.

And we heard talk about trash. So Plainfield Township made an ordinance that you have to pay for your trash. I pay for my trash because there's an ordinance. I never put a piece of trash out there. I come up to my house, I take it back to New Jersey, which goes into a dumpster in — it goes in a transfer station, but not anymore because it caught on fire — and come up to Waste Management. So I pick up the garbage out in my field that comes

from Waste Management, take it back to New Jersey, to be shipped back up to Waste Management. It's a repeat process.

2.0

And now, the transfer station burned down. I asked a question about that. They said, well, we can't control everything that goes in the transfer station.

I've been in that transfer station. I saw what goes in there, and all these lithium batteries, all these chemicals, oh, we can't control if batteries go in there. We can't control everything.

Well, if you can't control it, how do you know what's going in there? So maybe, I mean, you know, it's supposed to be -- you know, it's a beautiful place, but expand, and it's just going to get bigger and bigger. And what stops anybody? What would stop Chrin or, you know, any person down the road? They're adjacent. They say the new landfill is adjacent, so that's going to be adjacent too. So it's just going to get bigger and bigger and bigger, and why wouldn't that be?

(Unidentified speaker interruption.)

MR. WOLVEN: Let me tell you

something. Chrin paid, for 40-something acres, over

a million dollars. That's expensive for that property. Maybe that's more motivation. Maybe they feel that that's going to be a financial gain.

As of the property, the key properties that they need, yes, it goes up in value. But the other properties go down. The key properties that they need would be more money.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Terry Kleintop.

MR. KLEINTOP: Terry Kleintop, 839

Engler Road. I know Dave Allen is here tonight.

I'd like to get clarification on a couple of points
before I make further comments. I brought this up
in prior meetings, and I do know that he was a

Supervisor and member -- for our Special Meetings.

Therefore, I'm asking again tonight why this rezoning request is — continues to be for 211 or 212 — 6-by-8, if you want to be specific — rather than 133. Because the reality of it is, WM is asking for waste disposal of 81 acres and support area of 52. That's 133 acres. The other 78 acres that brings them up to approximately 211, 212 is a buffer. Why is the rezoning request not for 133 acres rather than 211?

David, are you prepared to answer that

question? Because my concern is this, and I'll be very clear. 81 and 78 are pretty comparable numbers. The people sitting in this room tonight are being led to believe that we're talking about, upon approval, 20 years of additional landfill. I'm concerned that that 78 is there for convenience and will then have the opportunity to continue for another 20 years.

So I am -- and the Planning Committee recommended that, really, 192 acres be put under a conservation need (phonetic). The residual of 114 and the 78 that I'm specifically referring to here.

MR. ROWLEY: So our hearing portion -testimony portion of the hearing has ended. This is
a Section 609 hearing under the MPC. There's no
right for parties to cross-examine or ask questions
of witnesses who have already provided their
testimony.

That being said, I will give the microphone if Mr. Allen does want to answer your question.

MR. ALLEN: Sure. Good evening,
Terry. So, as I explained at the Planning
Commission meeting, it's very typical and customary
to have zoning districts follow physical boundaries,

i.e. roadways. Our proposed zoning area follows Pen Argyl Road, Foxglove Road, an old railway bed, and Delabole Road.

Typically, my experience -- I think I had one project where it did not follow a physical boundary, where the municipality required us to put ten-foot-high steel posts to demark the zoning district.

So it's just normal and customary.

It's unusual -- very unusual -- to demark a permit boundary that's a function of a wetland setback.

Does that answer your question?

MR. KLEINTOP: No, but I've been

trying, and I'll keep trying because I'm sure this

won't end here tonight. I am trying to eliminate

the concern, and I think it's legit. When you see

81 as a waste disposal area, and you see something

similar, 78 acres, which today is being called a

buffer area, but it is included in the 212 for

rezoning, I think there has to be a concern about

what the ultimate goal is for that 78 acres 20 years

from now. So I just wanted to bring it up.

(Unidentified speaker interruption.)

MR. FIELD: Continue, Terry.

MR. BACKENSTOE: Terry, why don't you

1 continue with your presentation. 2 MR. KLEINTOP: Okay. David, again, 3 tonight, you made reference to something -- 134 4 acres, I think you mentioned at one point in time --5 that will be residual. I'm not coming up with 134. 6 Right now, it's 114. As long as you continue to say 7 that you're rezoning, it's for 211. 8 You did make reference, I believe, to 9 134 acres two times tonight. I just want to make 10 sure I get clarification on that. 11 MR. ROWLEY: Yeah. Again, this is not 12 time for cross-examination of witnesses. I'm going 13 to raise my --14 MR. KLEINTOP: -- cross-examine. 15 MR. ROWLEY: There is no right to 16 cross-examination in a Section 609 hearing. 17 MR. KLEINTOP: Okay. Then let me ask 18 this question. Tonight, and I guess --19 MR. FIELD: Your time is up, Terry. 20 MR. KLEINTOP: What? 21 MR. FIELD: Your time is expired. 22 you want to sum it up, if you have --23 MR. KLEINTOP: I have a number of 24 things to cover, but David Allen tonight said that 25 we now have an Environmental Impact Statement, and

we have Human Health Risk Assessments.

2.4

Didn't you say you had those reports available? They are now available? They had not been available up to this point in time for our review, and we requested them numerous times.

MR. ROWLEY: Again, I'm going to reiterate my objection.

MR. BACKENSTOE: Terry, if you want to make a statement to the Board about your concerns.

If you have outstanding issues, please feel free to present them to the Board. That fine.

MR. KLEINTOP: Well, I am presenting.

I mean, these are questions we have asked on a number of occasions in our four previous meetings. You can call it five with the last Planning Commission meeting. We were told that they didn't exist. Or let's put it that way: What they did provide was reports they had prepared for the 2020 meeting when the Supervisors voted it down. They weren't providing updated reports. Now we're led to believe or the implication is that the updated reports now exist. They didn't exist two months ago; they didn't exist five months ago. How did they all of a sudden become available? That's important. We should have had those reports. We

1 should have reviewed them. Strategic Solutions should have reviewed them. So should Susquehanna. 2 3 That's why their reports are incomplete. The tapes of our four previous meetings were never provided to 4 5 Susquehanna nor to Strategic Solutions, but yet they 6 wrote reports. 7 You know, I will say, I just want 8 Strategic Solutions -- they made such a big deal --9 MR. FIELD: I think your time is up, 10 Terry. 11 MR. KLEINTOP: I'll finish this up. 12 They made such a big deal out of the fact of the 13 concern about having Waste Management cap in 14 Northampton County, while Strategic Solutions didn't 15 even mention in their report that the Bethlehem 16 Landfill, owned by Waste Connections -- they didn't 17 even include in their report. They included Chrin, 18 Waste Management, and Dunmore. 19 MR. FIELD: Thank you, Terry. Mari 20 Mulitsch. 21 Good evening. My name MS. MULITSCH: is Mari Mulitsch. I live at 921 Grand Central Road. 22 23 Before I start, for the record, there was an open 24 seat. I do not work for the landfill. I also own

25

my own house.

As a resident of Plainfield Township and likely the closest neighbor to Grand Central Landfill, I feel it's important to share my perspective on this matter. I have spoken at previous meetings, and my position remains the same. I support the rezoning of this property to allow for the future expansion of the landfill.

Waste management is a necessity, and while landfills can be a source of debate, Grand Central Landfill has been a part of this community since the 1950s. Over the years, it has expanded responsibly to meet the needs of the region while incorporating the latest technology to manage waste in the safest and most efficient way possible. The reality is that landfills exist because of all of us, each and every one of us in this room and in the community. The average American throws away over five pounds of trash a day, and Waste Management has the responsibility of handling this waste in a safe, efficient, and environmentally conscious way.

This decision is not just about land use, it's about the long-term economic stability of our community. The revenue generated through taxes and host fees, along with the generous contributions from Waste Management, directly supports the Slate

Belt's infrastructure, public services, and local organizations.

2.2

Beyond that, the landfill sustains hundreds of jobs, jobs that extend beyond Waste Management employees, contractors, vendors, and local businesses that rely on its continued operation.

At the same time, I recognize that responsible waste management must balance economic and environmental considerations. As we look toward the future, I encourage continued investment and sustainability initiatives and technologies that minimize the environmental impact while ensuring that essential waste services remain vital.

If this rezoning is denied, we risk not only limiting future operations, but also jeopardizing the livelihoods of many in our community. I urge the Board of Supervisors to approve the rezoning request. Thank you for your time.

MR. FIELD: Cindy Maiello. Noah Capone. Joe Caffrey. Katelynn Frey.

MS. FREY: Good evening. My name is Katelynn Frey. I live at 944 Bocce Club Road. I'm here to express my -- against the dump rezoning,

1 echoing the same concerns as my neighbors regarding 2 health, environmental impacts, the rezoning of 3 farmland, and the results to our community. 4 I would advise the Board to please 5 vote against the rezoning of the dump. Thank you. 6 MR. FIELD: Jane Mellart. 7 MS. MELLART: I wrote on the list that I actually have two documents. 8 9 MR. FIELD: I don't believe reading 10 things from other people that weren't here and sworn 11 in, actually, is right. 12 It's from five former MS. MELLART: 13 Supervisors, and there were other people whose 14 letters were read that were not able to be here 15 tonight. 16 MR. FIELD: Right. They probably 17 won't be admitted to the record, either, because they weren't sworn in. But as long as you can do it 18 19 in your timeframe, you're fine. MS. MELLART: I'll do the first letter 20 21 as quick as possible. Can everyone hear me? 22 In the past, Plainfield Township 23 officials, staff, and committees put in a great deal 2.4 of time to develop Comprehensive Plans, zoning

districts, open space plans, subdivision and land

development ordinances, farmland preservation, the Little Bushkill Creek studies, all were done to create the vision and plan on how the land in Plainfield could be developed and protected.

The Comprehensive Plans were the blueprint for a township to preserve the rural nature while still allowing development. This proactive approach allowed for all uses with restrictions. The Comprehensive Plan Supplement composed in June of '88 put Plainfield Township on the forefront of planning by developing a planned and zoned area of approximately 390 acres for solid waste processing and disposal facilities. The plan was put in place after a Thursday of -- the Township Urban Research and Development reviewed the entire Township and led the study through the process.

As former Members of the Board of Supervisors, the undersigned all participated in review processes over the year during our terms in office.

Many Board Members that are no longer living voted for this study to take place or to adopt the ordinance creating the Solid Waste District.

Past Board Members put safeguards in

place by saving funds for a transition when the current Solid Waste District reached capacity.

2.0

The current Board is now tasked with the request by Waste Management to approve the rezoning of 212 acres currently zoned farm and forest to solid waste.

Proposed Ordinance 426 to rezone the 212 acres is before you tonight in a Public Hearing. The undersigned believe this goes against the long-term planning that was done by prior Boards in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of Plainfield Township and neighboring communities.

Plainfield Township has been host to a dump, then a landfill, since 1951. The regulations were put in place for lined landfills after a certain point. The Township has now been a host community for over 74 years. Past leaders never envisioned that the Township would be utilized as a perpetual dumping ground for other counties, states, and municipalities.

The plan before you documents that the proposed rezoned area will provide another 20 years for disposal of waste, with an area of 81 acres slated for landfilling.

Permit signed August 1, 2008 reads: This permit authorizes expansion of disposal area for Grand Central Landfill as stated: Expand the disposal area to 109.5 acres. The expansion will add 25 acres of new lined disposal area and 17.5 acres of lined disposal area over a closed portion of the

2.0

unlined landfill.

The 2008 permit for 42.5 acre expansion is still in operation until 2028, 20 years later. This indicates that, if the new Waste Management GCSL waste disposal area of 81 acres is approved, it will be utilized for far longer than 20 years.

If the Board approves the Waste
Management GCSL request for a new Solid Waste
District, it would put Plainfield Township past the
100-year mark for hosting an open waste disposal
facility. We believe the current Board needs to
take the time to develop an updated Comprehensive
Plan while conducting an inventory of the land area
of the Township.

The Township residents and residents in the neighboring communities have endured the negative effects of living within the radius of an

1 active landfill for over 74 years. The land area 2 for this industry has far surpassed the 1988 plan. 3 The harms outweigh the financial benefits. 4 The undersigned implore Ken Field, 5 Nolan Kemmerer, Jonathan Itterly, Ken Fairchild, and 6 Glenn Borger to vote no on rezoning land and not 7 adopt the following proposed ordinances. 8 The Planning Commission members voted 9 to not recommend approval. The Lehigh Valley 10 Planning Commission and Strategic Solutions 11 recommended the Township not change Conditional Use 12 to Permitted-By-Right. 13 Please take the time to review the 14 information from all the three public hearings. 15 And that's signed by Dr. Mark Powell, 16 Mac Lennon (phonetic), Don Moore, Randy Heard, and 17 myself, and I will give you a copy. 18 MR. FIELD: Thank you. 19 MS. MELLART: And this I'm going to 20 make as quick as possible. 21 MR. MELLART: Excuse me just for a 22 I wondered if it's possible to yield my 23 five minutes to Jane. 24 MR. FIELD: You're already signed in. 25 If you're not going to speak, then she can go ahead.

MS. MELLART: I sat and listened to everyone else.

I would like to enter the following information into the Public Hearing record for the following zoning changes requested by Waste Management GCSL and Slate Spring Farms LLC, Gary Perin, Managing Director. I'm going to skip the whole first page that says it's regarding these ordinances and who voted in what way.

Okay. I want to put on the record that Strategic Solutions was not provided with relevant documents, such as the 1988 Comprehensive Plan Supplement, which put Plainfield Township on the forefront of planning by developing its own area for a solid waste processing and disposal facility.

The question to be answered says:

Does Plainfield Township need another solid waste processing and disposal district? Is there justification for a new solid waste processing and disposal district of 212 acres in Plainfield Township?

The following attachments will be provided: Pennsylvania DEP list of landfills in the State of PA, 8 pages; EPA list of landfills in the State of PA with Waste Management and the Grand

Central Landfill highlighted, 10 pages; article,
Landfills, the Truth About Trash Dumps by the
Numbers; article, Only One State Has More Trash Per
Capita Than Pennsylvania; article, What U.S. State
Has the Most Landfills; copy of the class action
lawsuit on the odor issues; article, Lehigh Valley
Quality of Life -- Air Quality in the Lehigh Valley
Worsens, American Lung Association Report Reveals;
and eight is a Waste Management Green-Out posting.

The article Landfills and Truths About Trash Dumps By the Number was published in 2023. The article documents the number of tons of trash per person and landfills by state. Pennsylvania is shown as No. 2 in the United States, with 35.4 tons. I will tell you that actually has gone up a lot.

This does not mean that Pennsylvania residents produce more trash, but that more trash per person is disposed of in our state.

The trash trade -- this is out of the article -- is a \$4 billion industry, and many landfills are only too happy to take garbage from other states. The article also notes that landfill gases are a top concern. Pennsylvania is No. 3 on the list of states that generate the most landfill gas.

In the article, Only One State Has
More Trash Per Capita Than Pennsylvania, published
in 2016, the article starts out that: Pennsylvania
is very, very trashy. At least that is what the
data from the Environmental Protection Agency's
Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, which energy
companies say on energy.com used to conduct an
analysis of U.S. States with the most landfill trash
per capita. Pennsylvania is No. 2 in the nation for
tons of trash per person.

It further states: Pennsylvania imports more garbage than any other state in the country. It comes with a price, with Pennsylvania ranked No. 3 in the analysis among states that generate the most landfill gas.

Due to Northampton County having three landfills, it would be appropriate to find out how much waste per capita has been landfilled in the County, as compared to across the State of PA. It would also be a valid study to find out how much landfill gas is generated in Northampton County in comparison to the State.

In August of 2025, the American Lung Association gave the air quality in the Lehigh Valley a grade of F. Read that again. We have a

1 grade of F for air quality in the Lehigh Valley. 2 I'm going to say that the Green-Out 3 post notes their team members want to continue to 4 work in the Slate Belt. I understand that. worked at a job where the company closed, and I had 5 6 to find something else, and I understand that. 7 I believe you've been good employees. 8 The Waste Management flyer lists 35 employees that I've seen. Many of the Waste 9 Management team members do not reside here. 10 11 residents near the area want to continue to reside 12 They want to live here. They count too. The 13 residents, they want to live here without the 14 effects of new landfills --(Unidentified speaker interruption.) 15 16 This is my time and his time. 17 MR. FIELD: And now you just used 18 Konrad's time, so you're finished. Thank you. 19 MS. MELLERT: The following is from --2.0 a person that resides near a landfill not Waste 21 Management GCSL. They considered selling their 22 homes --23 MR. FIELD: Just wrap it up, if you 2.4 would, Jane. 25 MS. MELLERT: Well, on top of the

financial barrier and decreased property value, they struggled with the ethics of putting another family in the same situation. We kind of feel trapped.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I am going to say next is very relevant, and this is a hearing, and I want this entered into the record. I waited all night to speak.

MR. FIELD: As did the people that are behind you. They're waiting too. So go ahead, but please summarize and wrap it up.

MR. MELLERT: When reviewing a proposal for rezoning land, questions and reasons for justifying the change must be answered, especially when it is a high-impact use for our area. This proposal question, such as the following, must be answered before moving forward: Will rezoning an additional 212 acres only help our state remain in the top 5 in the USA for the most waste per capita? Will rezoning contribute to our poor air quality due to landfill gas and truck emissions? Is a new intersection on Pen Argyl Road to be utilized by one company in the best interest for the area? Is it Plainfield Township's responsibility to help keep Waste Management a multi-billion dollar company at the top of the high

earnings list in the waste industry? They have plenty of landfills.

2.

Does rezoning for Waste Management come before the health and welfare of the residents living near the Solid Waste District? Should the residents be required, due to a Board decision, to continue to put up with the odor issues, potential health problems from living near a landfill, and reduced real estate guides when a second Solid Waste District is not justifiable? How are you justifying the loss of farmland for solid waste disposal? Why should Plainfield Township host a dump -- landfill for over a hundred years? How will a second new solid waste disposal district affect people wanting to move to the Pen Argyl Area School District?

MR. FIELD: Jane, you're well beyond your time, and you're beyond Konrad's time also.

Can you just submit that so that we can have it for the record? Because it doesn't look like you're anywhere close to being finished.

MS. MELLERT: I'm near finished.

MR. FIELD: I think you're finished.

Maybe you could take over at the end, after everybody else who signed up gets their five minutes. And then, if you want to continue, you can

go again at the end. But it looks like you have 1 several pages, and I think everybody's been pretty 3 patient. 4 MS. MELLERT: I think that I was --5 down to this pretty well, that I waited this long. 6 MR. FIELD: Joseph Colosi. 7 MR. COLOSI: I'm Joseph Colosi, 5892-B Sullivan Trail. I want to thank the Board for 8 9 letting everyone here speak. And also, I'm 10 gratified that so many people want to be involved in 11 their government. 12 You don't have to take this vote 13 tonight. You could postpone the vote until 14 December. There's going to be an election in 15 November for two positions on the Board, and there's 16 four candidates. Three of the candidates have 17 openly declared opposition to rezoning this land. One of the candidates is backed by Waste Management, 18 19 so whether he declares what his position is or not, 2.0 it's clear that he would be in favor of this 21 rezoning. 22 You could use the results of this 23 election as a referendum by the people of this

Township about what they feel about this rezoning,

so why not table this tonight and plan to vote on it

24

in December?

MR. FIELD: Mille Beahm, I know you were up there. Do you have something else?

MS. BEAHM: No.

MR. FIELD: Okay. Tom Suprys.

MR. SUPRYS: Just so you know, I grew up in 1854 Pen Argyl Road, which is right at the crossroads of Delabole, and I remember, as a kid -- because we moved there in 1950 -- and I remember, as a kid, being able to look and see the whole mountain. And I also remember seeing Bobby Perin's stone home, and you know what's there now.

You know, in my career, I did a lot of problem solving, and one of the first things we learned was don't admire the problem. And that's what I think the Board's done when they go into all this financial stuff. You're admiring that problem and disregarding everything else that has come up, especially from the Planning Commissions of the Lehigh Valley and our own. And, also, you know, that's what they were there for, was to protect us. And in the same way, like everybody has said, Strategic Solutions, you know, has had part of it. You gave them what they wanted, so you got your yes vote there.

2
 3

But the second rule is, you reduce the problem to its simplest form. And the bottom line on this is that Waste Management wants to dump their rotting garbage on farmland, and that's against what we were standing for. That's crop producing. It's tillable farmland. And what do you get in return? You get money. Okay. I understand that. But you've got residents too.

But I also checked with the

Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic

Development, and they said that you can get into the Governor's Center for Local Government Services, the Technical Assistance Department, and there's two government specialists that are willing to help economically to help you develop whatever financial problems you think you have.

And there's only one other thing -well, a couple of things -- but a landfill will turn
into a brown field. When it turns into a brown
field, and you're talking 212 more acres, you know
what happens? You're worried about seepage. You're
worried about other things that are coming out of
that landfill. I don't know what you're thinking,
but 212 more acres of what you already got?

And the other thing is, is on the

1 topic of farmland, it will be about -- let's see --2 about 19 days from now, on September 15th, when 3 Christian Keller was scalped and murdered. And he's 4 still there. So what are you going to do about it? 5 Just cover him up? 6 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) 7 MR. SUPRYS: No, it is true because I 8 was over at Slate Belt Heritage, and they've been 9 investigating it, and he's still there, so. 10 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) MR. SUPRYS: No, they documented where 11 12 he was laid -- well, supposedly about in the area 13 where he was laid, but he hasn't been found, so he's 14 still there. Well, you can say what you want, but 15 go check it. 16 Now, the only the thing I just want to 17 finish up with is this. When you do your vote 18 tonight, I want to see an open vote. I don't want 19 to see a private ballot. 20 And the other thing I want to know is -- I know, time -- but the other thing I want to 21 22 know is, why do we always have to file a 23 Right-to-Know for everything? This stuff should be 24 There's no reason to have to file a

Right-to-Know to get the information.

MR. FIELD: Gary Winchilla.

MR. WINCHILLA: How you doing? My name is Gary Winchilla. I'm a current resident of Plainfield Township. I met my wife here, like many of you. I raised my family here and have enjoyed being a member of this community for 35 years.

I'm here today to express my strong opposition to the proposed rezoning ordinance. That will open the door for Waste Management to expand its operations by starting a new landfill across the road from the existing one, nearly doubling its size.

I believe the Township Supervisors are rushing the decision to rezone this land, and they're not acting in the best interest of the citizens for which they serve.

There are many reasons for my opposition to the landfill, most already shared today, so I'm not going to restate that. However, I do believe that if it is approved, this decision is going to forever change the landscape of the area. It will not be a long-term solution, and you will be merely kicking the can down the road for the young children of tomorrow to deal with.

Quite honestly, I believe if you allow

this to expand, the landfill will be the only thing you have here. No one will want to live here.

Nobody's going to want to come to this area, and the people that are here are going to want to leave.

It's highly unlikely businesses will see any benefits from investing in this area. I do believe there's time to change that. The fund that's been established for when the landfill closes affords the Township several years to adjust to life without a landfill.

I know there's many smart and successful people in this town. There's a lot of business leaders, politicians, doctors, lawyers. My recommendation — and I know it's been said here a couple of times tonight — is for the Township to form a real Economic Development Committee. Take the time, take the advantage of the resources around you to build new business opportunities for the area.

If Easton and Allentown can successfully revitalize, why can't the Slate Belt?

Thinking out loud, the area is rich in history, from the slate quarries to the railroads.

The Lehigh and New England Railroad called Pen Argyl home for decades. It was a vital link to the

anthracite coal region and the local cement industry. There's many remnants of those industries that survive today. Can they be revitalized in some way? Is there a business opportunity available by restoring one that can drive tours through the area of business? I don't know. It's just an idea, but it's surely an opportunity worth exploring. I'm sure if you get the right people in the room, much more can be done to bring real and sustainable growth to the area.

To conclude, the landfill is not the only answer. There's no emergency to make this rushed decision that will negatively impact the future of the Slate Belt for generations to come. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Paul Romano.

MR. ROMANO: Paul Romano. I do support the landfill. I live at 1850 Delabole Road. I've been there for 40 years. I don't know where everybody's getting this whole thing about the smell from. I'm outside in the summertime 99 percent of the time, and I don't have a smell.

Now, I've been hearing a lot. Nobody wants Waste Management to develop this farm and forest. What about the people that moved to

Plainfield Township that bought all these new homes where it turned into a development? Wasn't that farmland? We did it, so you are taking away farmland if you put in a home. There's a development down the road from my house on Pen Argyl Road and Mill Road. Mill Road, there's another development. That was all farmland, wasn't it?

2.0

So -- and I've been in the garbage business for, like, 22 years, and Waste Management offers people a learning experience. Go see the landfill. See how it operates. Don't just say, it's garbage. You know what we used to say in the garbage business? Well, if you chew your food better, maybe we'll have more room. Just think about it. Maybe if we chew more, there's less garbage.

But on the other hand, where do you people want the garbage to go? Oh, we can't take our garbage. Oh, let's put it over in somebody else's community. And I don't get it. It's here for 72 years. You remember Wind Gap had the slate quarry? That's where the garbage went. Pen Argyl had the same thing. It wasn't covered. It's still contaminated water. Does anybody care about that?

No. Nobody cares about it.

And I don't work for Waste Management,
but I support it. Does Waste Management own my
home? No. I own it outright.

2.0

So -- and about this garbage that's blowing all over people's property. I don't know, I live a quarter mile away and I don't get no garbage. You know where I get most of the garbage from?

Dunkin' Donuts. That's what shows up in my yard.

Everybody's worried about the contamination. You have tractor trailers coming through with diesel fuel. There is no regulation for the smoke. How many people have coal stoves? Wood stoves? Outside burners? How many people use pesticides? How many put things in their garbage that they know shouldn't go in there just to see if we can sneak it in? I know all the tricks. I do. I know all the tricks, what you try and do. We had people that used to put oil in it. How do we know? How do the guys know? They don't know. Things are going to slip by.

I'm 67 years old. I've been in the garbage business for 22 years. I don't know what's going to happen tomorrow. Does anybody know what the future's going to bring? But nobody wants businesses to come into Plainfield Township. Oh,

let's have a business. Oh, we can't. We don't want it. We want to have a beautiful little town.

There is no infrastructure. Where is it going to come from? What's Wind Gap going to do? What the hell's Pen Argyl going to do? Are we going to go to all the businesses and go, well, since Waste Management went out, you guys got to make up everything.

What about your kids that go to school? Doesn't Waste Management hand out scholarships? What happens when that disappears?

So we all bitch about Waste Management today. Waste Management goes out. Now we have something else to bitch about. I don't know, maybe it's the price of tea in China. I don't know.

And I'd also like to say, for the people that were here at the last meeting, about the derogatory comments that were made to me because my wife couldn't have children, I think that was despicable. And I am sorry — if those people are here, I would want a formal apology because now I can see how rude people can be, and it is terrible.

Thank you very much, Supervisors, and I approve it.

MR. FIELD: Ian Robinson.

1.0

2.0

MR. ROBINSON: My name is Ian

Robinson. I live on the -- in Washington Township.

Like my neighbors here, the Turos (phonetic), we're very close to the landfill. I get no benefits whatsoever, really, from the landfill, but I have been listening to the various comments that people have made tonight.

As a professional engineer, I can probably delve into that, but people want to probably go home. And in accordance with my stature, I'm going to keep my comments short, okay?

But we talked about health, smells, traffic, but there's one issue that has not been considered, I don't think, and it's called fairness. Plainfield Township, and the Slate Belt, in particular, have been the host since -- I've been told -- since 1950 for a landfill. This is a small area. It's a small community. As that landfill has grown, we have taken in more and more. It's never really been our trash, but now what I hear is 50 percent -- I stand to be corrected, maybe it's 25 percent -- but the Slate Belt has borne the burden of the landfill for a long, long time. In terms of fairness, I do believe it's time that the Slate Belt, Plainfield Township shelve the burden to

someone else. I realize garbage has got to go somewhere, but you know what? The Slate Belt has put up with it for a long time, and in terms of the issue of fairness, I would oppose this approval. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Thank you. Diane Rute.

MS. RUTE: My name is Diane Rute, and I live in the Dotta Development. When I moved here 38 years ago in the Township -- and at that time, Pen Argyl had their -- first off, I thank you for allowing me to speak tonight and to express my opinion as to what's going.

When we moved here 38 years ago in Plainfield Township and the town of Pen Argyl, they were both a vibrant community, and we were so happy to raise our daughters in that small close-knit town. I just can't believe how things have changed over the 38 years that we've lived here. And recently, I've heard over and over at several of the meetings that we've come to that we knew the dump was here when we moved here. But the truth is -- it is true, the dump was here, but we were informed that it was going to close. So not knowing this -- we would've considered differently if we would've known it and maybe not made the decision to move

into our -- Plainfield Township. So we love it here, and we'd love to stay here, but we just really don't want the dump to expand.

remember that, you know, you were elected or appointed to represent all the residents of Plainfield Township, not just yourselves, and certainly not Waste Management. But if you put yourself in the shoes of someone who lives close to the dump — I would imagine that none of you really live close enough to have it affect your daily lives, but from my house, I can see, I can smell it, and I can hear it. And I'm really concerned about the health issues that may come of it if we do stay.

I just want to say that, you know, you're representing me as well as many others that feel the same way as I do, and just because they aren't here maybe tonight doesn't mean that they don't hear. You know, people have busy lives, but I assure you, if you look on social media or you truly take the time to talk to your residents, you'll see that there is strong opposition to the new landfill. Honestly, who would really want a landfill in their area? I don't know anyone who would say yes to that.

But my thoughts are that this Township
has so much potential with a beautiful view of the
mountains, gorgeous farmland, and room for new
businesses and homes, and I strongly believe that we
can create and find the other ways to collect tax
revenue. And allowing the Township to expand the
dump as the only solution is essentially just giving

9 up. And what happens in 20 years? You're simply
9 kicking the can down the road for someone else to
10 deal with.

Let's stop the expansion and work together to come up with a better solution -- now this is a problem -- and not just make it about the money. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Luther Bond.

MR. BOND: Hello. I'm Luther Bond,
Pen Argyl. There are several concerns that I have
with expansion of the dump. I'm going to start off
with something very simple. If we had some kind of
business move into the area, say, a giant factory
that made mushrooms -- if anybody's down around
Sinking Springs, you know what that smells like.
It's terrible. You get nauseated just trying to
drive through. We wouldn't put up with that, okay?
It would -- people would be very sick. We wouldn't

be allowing them to continue expanding and making more and more areas that we can't drive through, okay?

2.4

That's where the class action lawsuit came in -- the class leader for that. I can't say too much about it because of where it is in the process, but I will say that when we first started this, we had 150 homes that signed on to the class action lawsuit, and since it was certified as a class, now the entire -- I think it's about a two-mile radius from the dump is now included in the class. I'll leave it at that for now.

There have been worse and worse days, starting with when I first moved into Pen Argyl 30 years ago. The dump got worse, the smells got worse, more nauseating, stuff like that. We've also had problems with other form of vermin. We've already mentioned the rats. We haven't talked about the turkey buzzards that take over a lot of the homes down around the dump. We haven't talked about the flocks of seagulls that come around certain times of year, things like that.

So the entire lawsuit is based on the use and enjoyment of our properties, which have gone downhill like crazy ever since I moved in. And

1 that's about where I'm going to stop there with 2 that. Thank you for your time. And, please, these 3 people are highly intelligent. They know what's 4 going to happen if the dump goes away, and they're 5 going to lose revenue, but they're still against it 6 because they know their health is more important. 7 I also suggest that we take care of 8 these people here. Let's get them a place where 9 they can set up a dump, somewhere that's not right 10 up against our houses, okay? We can move them somewhere else that's, you know, within ten miles. 11 12 There's plenty of spots that can be used. All 13 right? 14 Rushing it through today is not a 15 great idea. We need to sit down with them and 16 consider other areas, other solutions for revenue, 17 things like that. Thank you. 18 MR. FIELD: We'll take a five-minute 19 break. 2.0 (A recess was taken.) 21 MR. FIELD: Would everyone please take 22 your seat. 2.3 MR. BACKENSTOE: Okay. Ken advises 2.4 that that's it for comment. Everybody who had

signed up has made their statements, and unless

1	there's anything else to be submitted into the
2	record, I'm going to close the hearing at this time.
3	So we'll close the hearing, and we'll
4	move on to the next new business.
5	MR. FIELD: The new business is
6	consideration of adoption of Ordinance No. 426,
7	amending the Plainfield Township Zoning Map.
8	Do I hear a motion to adopt Ordinance
9	No. 426?
10	MR. BORGER: I make a motion that we
11	table it.
12	MR. FIELD: Looking for a motion to
13	adopt Ordinance No. 426.
14	MS. STEFANELLI: We have a motion on
15	the floor.
16	MR. BACKENSTOE: Is there a second?
17	MR. FIELD: Is there a second on the
18	motion to table?
19	No second. Motion dies.
20	Was there a motion
21	MR. KEMMERER: I will make a motion to
22	move on with Ordinance 426.
23	MR. FIELD: Motion on the floor. Do I
24	hear a second?
25	MR. BACKENSTOE: All right. So is

1 that a motion to adopt? 2 MR. KEMMERER: Adopt. Sorry. 3 MR. BACKENSTOE: All right. And it's 4 a motion to adopt as amended with the proper section 5 numbers. 6 MR. FIELD: Do I hear a second? 7 MR. ITTERLY: I will second that 8 motion. MR. FIELD: Motion to second on the 9 10 floor. Is there any comment from the floor? 11 Jane. 12 MS. MELLERT: If I had got to finish 13 what I had to say, it would've been very good. 14 It's obvious that you're not even 15 taking into consideration new information that has 16 been presented tonight by the people. You're not 17 looking at it at all. No consideration. 18 One of you -- two of you have never 19 been voted onto this Board and gone through the 2.0 election. I'm very concerned that you have possible 21 friendships, honestly, and things that are conflicts 22 of interest, and you should actually very much look 23 at that and see if you should be voting. Where does 24 your loyalty lie?

You know, we know that there are

people on the Board that have strong -- appears to be strong friendships with Perin and with Adrienne. Are you allowing that to work into this? Why don't

the people near this area matter to you?

I believe, you know, I got to name names. One of you was seen out eating with Perin the night before it was taken to vote to move the ordinances forward. They've been struggling, from what I've been told, with a decision on this because of, you know, it's really hard when you're dealing with friendships, when you're dealing with relationships that you've had for a long time.

We watch on social media, where people have asked me verbally, does one of the Board Member's wife work for Waste Management?

I said, not that I know of. But they constantly push for the landfill to go through. And we know they have very significant relationships, you know. We know that Adrienne does his sister pictures, etc. They run into the parade from Labor Day, make sure they get their picture taken with her. How does that come before — how does this company come before the residents of this municipality?

We also know, Ken, because I've been

Township, yourself and Nolan Kemmerer, met with two people from Pen Argyl Borough Council, and that's all the way back in September or the beginning of October last year. And they wanted a letter of support. You wanted a letter of support from Pen Argyl. And during that meeting, Pen Argyl was told you had the third vote. That was before any reviews of any kind whatsoever. Nothing — it was Pen Argyl — because the councilman told me — that asked, don't you think you should have the Planning Commission conduct a review?

2.0

Then you came back and you voted to conduct a review and allow it to go to the Planning Commission. And then they keep contacting them, letter of support, letter of support. They put in a letter of support, then you contact them — we have the text — you contact them, you want the letter of support changed. It was constant contact to get that through.

In Pen Argyl's letter, there's a whole paragraph on the possible negative effects it will have on the municipalities.

You don't belong voting on this. You should recuse yourself. You really don't. And I

1 sta
2 you
3 And
4 mur
5 the
6 mat
7 rev
8 giv
9 The

2.4

stand by what I say on that. You're not being -your loyalty doesn't seem to lie in the right area.

And, you know, with the background that's there with
municipal government, the Planning Commission, and
the recommendations, and the reviews they did should
matter. The Lehigh Valley Planning Commission
reviews should matter. Strategic Solutions wasn't
given the proper information. We all know that.

They didn't give them the 1988 Comprehensive Plan.

I'm asking you to delay the vote, recuse yourself, or vote no.

MR. HURATIAK: My wife asked me not to be aggressive, so my last five minutes --

MS. STEFANELLI: State your name.

MR. HURATIAK: Justin Huratiak. My last five minutes, I guess I didn't do a good job to clarify that your D&O (phonetic) insurance does not cover gross negligence and blatant disregard. Your fiduciary responsibility to make sure you balance your budget and figure out other alternatives before making this vote, I think it's very clear that you're exposing yourself here, pushing this vote through. And our investment to seek recourse against Waste Management in a class action, that didn't go anywhere, and that's been disregarded.

I'm focusing all of my assets and my money directly at this Township and the Supervisors themselves to be personally accountable -- I want you to look at this little girl over here and stare at her while you're making this vote and make sure you know that her life and her kids' lives are now impacted by the decision you're making right now.

Personally. Your assets, everything.

MR. RENNA: Based on what we know from the Right-to-Know request emails that we collected, it appears that four of the five Supervisors sitting in front of you met in private with Waste Management to discuss this expansion. The only one that never responded to Adrienne Fors' email was Glenn Borger.

MR. FAIRCHILD: You're wrong.

MR. RENNA: Well, Mr. Fairchild, you asked me why I thought that you were in favor of this expansion, and I said it was the actions that you took. You just had an opportunity to second his motion, and you didn't take it. Glenn made a motion to table, and you could have -- if you were truly against this expansion --

MR. FAIRCHILD: You're wrong. I never got no email from Adrienne Fors. I never got no email from her. Where'd you get that information

1 from? 2 MR. RENNA: It's from the 3 Right-to-Know request. 4 MR. FAIRCHILD: Show it to me, then. 5 MR. RENNA: You've got a copy. You 6 can have a copy too. I don't think that you 7 replied, but I can take from context that Adrienne 8 The only one we still have to meet with is said: 9 Glenn Borger. Glenn, would you like to set up a 10 time? 11 The emails don't lie. The emails are 12 black and white, exactly as they were provided by 13 the Township. 14 MR. FAIRCHILD: I'll say what I have 15 to say when I get my chance to comment. 16 MR. RENNA: I hope that you vote 17 against the expansion. 18 But I would invite you, now, to look 19 and watch and see how they vote. And then go to 20 preserveplainfield.org and look at the video that breaks down those emails, and you decide for 21 22 yourselves. That's all I have to say. 23 MR. FIELD: Anything else from the 24 floor? Hearing nothing, now we take Supervisor 25 comments -- oh, wait. Somebody?

MS. GALASSO: I just wanted to add -I'm Meghan Galasso. I drive by the dump daily, and,
man, I love those back roads. The dump -- my
three-year-old in the back seat has, for the record,
said: It smells like poop.

So the smell is evident. And as someone — as a mother of two with one on the way and, you know, responsible for my little ones and the future generation, I just want to speak on their behalf and say no. No to the dump, and please think about them. Think about your children. Think about your grandchildren. They're the ones that we should be thinking about and putting at the forefront as you make this decision. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: Anybody else in the back?

MR. MICHAELS: Just wanted to clarify
one thing. Being a business owner, if I run into a
Supervisor -- Dave can weigh in on this -- if I run
into a Supervisor up at Daisy or somewhere, and I
have this possibility for a new venture, and I talk
to him about that -- or any of the Supervisors -- is
that illegal? Is that something that they should be
scolded on?

MR. BACKENSTOE: No. It's not illegal.

MR. MICHAELS: Okay. Well, what's
this big conspiracy? You people are just grasping
at straws. I mean, it's terrible. Anybody can do
this at any time. And why wouldn't you? Why would
somebody want to invest all this money and time and
effort without having some idea that maybe this

would be a good idea or not a good idea, right?

8 Doesn't that make sense?

No. And you're supposed to be so smart, and you shake your head no at me. You're -- you know, God forbid, you're in the education field, right? I think I heard you say it -- I just wanted to clarify that.

MS. MILLER: Hi. My name is Mary Ann Miller (phonetic). I live on George Street in Pen Argyl. I've lived here for just over 34 years, and I love Pen Argyl. We moved here because it reminded us of the hometown we came from, so that's why we moved here. But I will tell you that, not being originally from here, I never would have moved to Pen Argyl had I known there was a dump in Pen Argyl.

I also want to speak to the Waste

Management employees. I know the thought of losing

your jobs is scary. I worked for -- let's just

say -- the largest employer in the Lehigh Valley

1 that has just been acquired, and we face outsourcing 2 all the time. 3 Unfortunately, it's a way of life. 4 I'm hoping that Waste Management, being the big 5 company that it is, can find other jobs for you in other places, but not Pen Argyl. And I know this --6 7 (Unidentified speaker interruption.) 8 MS. MILLER: People -- well, I have a 9 home in Pen Argyl too --10 MR. FIELD: Don't interrupt her. 11 MS. MILLER: -- if you're outsourced, 12 it's how companies work. 13 The other point I want to make is, 14 everybody's acting like this is the only dump in the 15 world. It's not. Our garbage can go to other 16 places. Just like other states send garbage to us, 17 we can send ours other places. And just one more thing, just a quick 18 19 anecdote. Twice my garbage didn't get picked up. I 20 tried to call Waste Management. They sent me to 21 their national number. They didn't even know where 22 Pen Argyl was. Twice that happened. And I said to 23 them: You have a dump in Pen Argyl. They had no idea where it was. 2.4

not even on their radar. Thank you.

MR. FIELD: That's it. At this point, Supervisors will make their comments before the vote. I'll go first.

I've been a resident of Plainfield

Township for 60 years, and I've operated a business
here for the last 37. I've been an active member of
the community forever. My business is here, my
family is here, my friends are here, my life is
here.

According to the Census Bureau, there are approximately 6,300 residents in Plainfield Township. And I'll pause there for a second because behind our engineer, there is one sheet of paper for every resident in Plainfield Township on that table in the back, up top. The stack on the right counts for every signature that was on a petition or a postcard that came back or even the few people that actually wrote letters and mailed them in, in opposition to the landfill.

So that's -- when we talk about doing what we need to do for all 6,300 residents, that gives you some kind of an idea how we -- like everywhere else, most people don't take an active role in government. After being elected for the second time in my life, I honestly can't blame them.

However, it's important for the wishes of the majority to be carried out by the elected officials.

The only way that can be done is for them to communicate their feelings about the issues at hand

5 to the members of the governing body.

Everyone on our current Board welcomes input from every resident because that's how we decide the direction to guide the Township in the future. Some of the decisions are no-brainers, but some are difficult. Personally, I don't just support what I think needs to be done without thinking about the people that I represent. Because there are five Supervisors, I believe that each one is responsible for carrying out the wishes of 20 percent of the residents, and if I don't think that 20 percent of the residents would agree with what I have to vote on, then I don't vote in favor of it.

Since I was elected, we have solved some serious issues. Some were staffing problems and others were management problems, but together with the other Supervisors, we overcame those problems, and I believe the Township is running more efficiently now than it has been in long time and definitely serving the residents better.

Because of the way the election cycle

2.0

works, Supervisor positions open up every couple of
years and new people can run for those seats.
Because everyone's life is busy today, it's not a

popular thing for people to do. And to be perfectly honest, it's not a whole lot of fun once you get elected.

The reason I'm explaining this is because we're charged with making a decision about whether the Waste Management landfill should close when it's full or if it should be allowed to use an adjacent piece of property for an expansion. This is probably the most consequential and controversial decision that our Board will make in our time here.

The people opposing the expansion are very vocal. Just like at the customer service desk in a store, you don't hear people saying how much they like the product that they bought. It's basically a complaint department. And that's what we are in this situation.

It's difficult to gauge the feelings of the majority of residents by public comments alone. We do have some hard facts to look at about the financial viability of the Township after the landfill closes and what that closure would do to our budget. The Township would receive \$2.2 million

less each year from landfill revenue after it closes. Many people know that we have a trust fund that was created years ago to help reduce the drastic increase in taxes that would likely occur after the landfill closes. That fund contains about \$16 million at this time. Obviously, that's not a long-term solution to a permanent loss of revenue.

All the real estate taxes collected in Plainfield Township bring approximately \$1.2 million into the budget annually. To put this into perspective, Plainfield Township's share of the cost of the Slate Belt Regional Police Department is about \$1.4 million annually. So we're already in the red without a major influx of revenue, and that's just for police services.

It's no surprise that the landfill is generally considered a nuisance business. We all know they're a multi-billion dollar corporation, and their goal is to show profit to their shareholders.

Nobody wants a landfill in our Township, including me, but it's already here, and it has been for a long time.

Plainfield Township is made up of almost 16,000 acres, and we are being asked to allow 80 acres of landfill space for this expansion. If

this was an application for a new landfill, and we could live without their revenue comfortably, and we didn't need a place for trash to go, I would have

4 been less inclined to consider the request.

That being said, Waste Management has always contributed to any type of public or private project in Plainfield Township, Wind Gap, or Pen Argyl when it was requested. And that's above and beyond the taxes they're required to pay. They also contribute to the budgets of Wind Gap and Pen Argyl and pick up trash in both of those communities at no cost. Past Supervisors of Plainfield Township didn't choose to take their offer of free trash pick up, and they took a cash allowance instead.

In the last couple of decades,
Plainfield Township Supervisors chose not to
entertain the possibility of other businesses coming
into the Township. They preferred open space. An
open space is great, but it doesn't pay all the
bills.

We had the opportunity of a Walmart, a Lowe's, and at least two wineries that would have created substantial tax revenue, but they were all pushed to the point of withdrawing their applications by officials who just don't want any

development here.

If the decision of whether to allow the expansion could be settled by a referendum on the ballot, that would be helpful. But I asked about that years ago and it's not allowed.

To date, I have not heard one opponent of the expansion make a valid suggestion on how the closure of the landfill will not be placed squarely on the backs of the taxpayers or how we can make commercial businesses appear out of thin air after running every potential one of them out for decades.

The decision we will make about the landfill is not one that we take lightly, not made with emotion alone, and certainly not without the best interests of all residents in mind. We've gathered as much factual information as we possibly can and have reviewed all of it thoroughly.

While finance is one issue to be considered, there are many other factors to be carefully considered and reviewed with regard to this request to rezone. Those items are in our Zoning Ordinance, and we have considered them.

Section 27-807. Some of this was mentioned before by Waste Management, but the Supervisors have considered all this information, and I'll read it

now for the record because it's valid information that was used for us to draw the conclusion that we have.

The question: Is proposed land use compatible with adjacent land uses?

The proposed land use is compatible and will be directly integrated with the existing landfill operations. The office, maintenance, scale house, and other existing infrastructure will remain. That's why it's an expansion and not a new landfill. This position is supported by both the report from Strategic Solutions and the review letters from the Lehigh Valley Planning Commission.

Next question: Is the proposed land use compatible with zoning regulations in adjoining municipalities if the proposed use is located on the Township border?

Yes. The proposed area to be rezoned is adjacent to the Extractive Industry Manufacturing District of Pen Argyl Borough. The proposed area requested to be rezoned is a half a mile from the municipal boundary, with Washington Township to the east and over a mile to Wind Gap Borough on the west.

Is the proposed land use one that's

2.0

2.1

permitted in other appropriately zoned areas in the Township?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. The proposed sanitary landfill use is permitted in the Township's Solid Waste Processing and Disposal District. Currently, the only property situated within the Solid Waste District is the property that contains Grand Central's existing sanitary landfill.

Does the proposed land use conform to the goals and recommendations of the Plainfield Township Comprehensive Plan?

Yes. The proposed land use conforms to the goals and recommendations of the existing Plainfield Township Comprehensive Plan of 2004, as the existing permitted community supported land use with environmental protection and conservation measures. Comprehensive Plan examines the existing and future trends that influence the conservation and development of the Pen Argyl/Plainfield/Wind Gap The future goal for the community's area. facilities and services category is to provide adequate community facilities and services to accommodate future growth without endangering health or environmental quality. The need for solid waste disposal has not diminished since the Comprehensive

Plan was drafted.

2.2

Will the proposed land use, site planning, landscaping, and other land planning proposals enhance the visual and aesthetic character of the immediate neighborhoods?

The proposed landfill has been located in an area that will maintain existing wetlands, woodlands, and buffer properties. Existing wooded areas outside the proposed permit boundary will be left in place to maintain the existing aesthetic character of the properties.

How will the proposed land use affect the following trends in the Township? For example, increased vacancy rates, viability of business, traffic impact, low density/higher density use of water, sanitary sewer, and utility services and capacities.

Increased vacancy rates: Grand
Central Sanitary Landfill is one of the largest
employers in the region. The closure of the site
would cause the loss of many jobs, and it would have
a direct impact on the rise of vacancy rate.

Economic viability of business: The landfill and its employees would continue to contribute to the local economy with the proposed

expansion, employees frequenting local eateries, stores, gas stations, and banking institutions.

With the exception of Berkheimer and Delabole, there are very few existing businesses near the expansion area that would be affected. The Green Knight

Economic Development Corporation and GCS Hauling

Operations are an integral part of both the current landfill operations and the proposed expansion.

This conclusion is supported by the Landfill Closure Analysis provided by Susquehanna Accounting and Consulting Solutions.

Traffic impact: The proposed waste accepting rates will remain identical to those of the current landfill, so there will be no change to the traffic volume or truck routes to or from the facility.

Low density to higher density use:

The proposal is a transfer of activity from existing landfill to proposed expansion area. There is no increase in density of the use proposed.

Water: The proposed project will have the same water demands as the landfill does today.

Sanitary sewer: No changes or additions are proposed to the existing sanitary sewer service operations. Existing facilities used

by employees will continue to be serviced by Pen Argyl Municipal Authority.

2.0

Utility service and capacities:

There's no anticipated capacity issue with the utility service for the proposed expansion. Menec (phonetic) and PP&L have local infrastructure to service the landfill. It's expected that power will most likely be extended from the existing landfill. Communications and telephone are also available there.

Health: As part of the Plainfield
Township 2004 Conditional Use Approval for the
landfill's Southern Expansion, a Health Risk
Assessment was performed, with the most recent
update being completed in 2023. The same
engineering controls and compliance monitoring
methods used for operating the current landfill will
be implemented with the proposed expansion.

Is there adjacent vacant land whose potential land use character would be significantly influenced by the proposed land use?

There is vacant land to the south and east of the proposed landfill. These include portions of the existing farm field, railway beds, and water causes where continuous greenways would be

achievable and beneficial to the community.

Existing and anticipated need: With the proposed land use, is there a real need that exists or is it expected to exist within one year?

The proposed expansion area will permit a continuation of the necessary existing use.

Natural environment: Can the proposed land use be sited on land with minimum disruption to the natural environment?

operating with minimal disruption to the environment. The same would be true of the proposed expansion area. The proposed expansion area is located within the Martins Creek watershed. The wetlands and riparian zones within this watershed can support sensitive species. Diligence will be required as landfill operations near the existing riparian zones and wetlands.

Public services: Are existing or imminent public services available to adequately serve proposed land use?

The proposed landfill is termed an expansion. From a public point of view, it would be a local relocation and continuation of the existing operation.

2.3

\_\_\_\_

Schools: The nearest schools are located to the north in Pen Argyl and include the Pen Argyl Area High School and Immaculate Conception Elementary School. The proposed expansion area will be located farther south than the existing disposal limits and are farther away from these schools.

Utilities: The existing utilities are sufficient for the purpose of the expansion area.

Recreational facilities: The existing Green & White Youth Association is located 3,500 feet from the proposed landfill. The Plainfield Township Recreation Trail is located over 3,600 feet to the west across Pen Argyl Road and near the existing landfill. Grand Central Sanitary Landfill maintains an Environmental Education Center on its lands with restored habitat, tours, and classes and passive recreation trails for use by the public.

Fire protection: The proposed landfill will be protected by the Plainfield Township Fire Company, with the Lookout Fire Company No. 1 in Pen Argyl and Wind Gap Fire Company as backup supporting emergency services. The facility also has several fire hydrants located around the property set up for fire response, along with various water bodies and all highway water trucks

1 available to help distinguish fires at the facility.

2.3

2.4

Police protection: The proposed landfill will continue to be protected by the Slate Belt Regional Police Department.

Are the existing streets able to accommodate the increased traffic that the proposed land use will generate?

The proposed land use will not generate any increased traffic. The number of trucks in the landfill operations will remain the same as they are today. Other than a proposed at-grade crossing of Pen Argyl Road, landfill traffic will continue to utilize the existing truck routes, which are suitable.

Are the existing streets programmed for widening and improvement by the federal state body? Are the streets proposed for widening and improvement by the developer to accommodate the increased traffic?

There is no increase of traffic associated with the project, so that would not be necessary.

Citizen opinion: There are many who support this rezoning request, and there are many who do not. However, we, the Board of Supervisors,

1 represent the entire Township and its entire 2 population of 6,300, and we must review these 3 applications in light of what's best for all 4 residents. 5 At the end of this process, the elected officials will vote, and that's exactly how 6 7 this system was intended to work. 8 MR. SCOTT: Who comprised that letter? 9 That was a Waste Management letter. 10 MR. FIELD: Sit down, Mr. Scott. Sit. 11 MR. SCOTT: I just want to know who 12 wrote the letter. 13 MR. FIELD: I wrote the letter. MR. SCOTT: I know you read it, 14 15 because --16 (Cross-talk occurred.) 17 MR. KEMMERER: I just want to let 18 everybody know that I did review this whole thing. I went to every meeting. I missed the last Planning 19 20 Commission meeting. I wasn't around town. 21 I listened to everybody else, 22 everybody in the streets. It does align with our 23 Comp Plan. I just feel it's the right situation here. I looked at the economics. I did an overlay 24 25 of our districts to try to find out where else we

could come up with actually revenue or build or expand housing or whatever. There's a lot of land that we have in farm preservation. And this is, I think, less land than what it would take to do anything else in our Township.

MR. FIELD: Glenn.

MR. BORGER: Okay. That was nice.

I'm not going to keep you here any longer, but this is an expansion that we don't need. It's an expansion that we weren't promised. We were promised it was cap and go, and here we are.

If you're talking about your taxes and the money and everything else, I got on this Board in 2010, when the previous Board had borrowed three quarters of a million dollars against the Rainy Day Fund, and the dump had cut down on their intake because they lost contracts.

This is not a simple job, but we're here. We're here. We went through all that, and we're here. And it took a lot, and it took a lot of sacrifice from people, employees and people that provided services for us. We cut down to where you — we told the people: You don't even buy a box of staples unless we absolutely need them.

That's how broke we were. We didn't

pave roads for five years. But we're here, and it can be done. I've been here for 15 years. We can talk about money. Money don't provide you happiness, baby, and it don't do nothing for your health.

2.4

MR. ITTERLY: I would like to thank everyone for your time and coming out tonight. For those of you that don't know much about me, I grew up in this area my entire life. I lived approximately three blocks from the landfill. That was my childhood home. I lived there until I was 18 and then moved a couple of blocks down the street. My parents still reside there. So when I hear people talking about the landfill, I lived it.

I fished the Waltz Creek as a kid. I rode my bike when the trail went to Pen Argyl Road and Pen Argyl Road to Stockertown. So I know a lot about living near a landfill.

I find it disheartening, some of the comments that were made. I'm fortunate enough to work for a municipality. I've been in law enforcement since approximately 2010. I worked for Pen Argyl Borough Police Department before they regionalized with our current chief, Chief Hoadley. And for prior Board Members to question the

integrity of these Board Members, for Supervisors from neighboring municipalities to make threats for lawsuits and intimidation factors, it's disappointing.

2.0

This process we're going through, it's difficult. And we're going through this at the guidance of our Solicitor. And I hope we are making the right decision for this community. There is a lot of material that has been reviewed. I know there was questions about meeting minutes. I myself did a Right-to-Know request for Planning Commission meeting minutes because they weren't available, just so we had that information.

So I hope that everybody understands that this is not an easy process, and this Board is trying to do the best it can do for this community. Thank you.

MR. FAIRCHILD: I've been a Supervisor for -- and I opposed the landfill expansion since the beginning. I have not changed my position.

There's a certain individual, along with her posse, telling Township residents that I supported the landfill to win the election. I have constantly voted against expansion, but I am continuously being bullied by the residents that are

against the expansion. I have not received any support from these individuals, and yet I have the same position as them. I've been falsely accused of taking bribes and having private meetings with Waste Management. And for the record, I have not changed my position. After the election, my position has always been the same.

Most residents that oppose the landfill are absolutely pathetic. The reason why I say that is, you have a Supervisor on your side, but I'm continuously being bullied and being threatened to be sued. But I support you, and that's the crap I'm going through.

I voted in favor of Strategic
Solutions' third-party independent study for the
following reasons. I believe the Planning
Commission was not unbiased in making their decision
to not grant the expansion. In 2023, after the
November election, myself and a group of Supervisors
made the comment that we need to do whatever we have
to do to keep Waste Management from getting their
expansion. One of the Supervisors then is on our
Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission never mentioned to the residents the financial impact that

it would make on not just Plainfield Township, but on our neighboring communities, including our school district, police, and fire departments.

1.0

2.0

The third-party study confirmed that the financial impact on the community would be significant. You can't afford -- can you afford your taxes to increase three times of what you're paying today? How long do you think the escrow that we have will last? Maybe we need to plant money trees and pick off those money trees. And if that's the case, I know where we can plant them. We can plant them on the farm that's spread human waste for years on it and see how they grow.

Most of the people opposing the landfill live in big houses, have good-paying jobs, and they can absorb the rise in taxes and increased cost of waste removal. But have you considered this: What about the residents on fixed incomes that can't afford it? The impact on our school district and youth, sports programs that will no longer have funding for our kids to enjoy these activities. The children's parents that are unable to pay for these activities, and the children will no longer be able to participate. The increased cost of waste removal when it has to be trucked to

other landfills.

Residents are complaining about the money that was spent on the third-party study, but nothing was ever mentioned about the countless hours our office staff and Solicitor spent to research meaningless Right-to-Know requests. I'm sure that would far exceed the money that we spent for the third-party study to obtain the necessary data to make the proper decision.

Like many of you, I am also concerned about health effects that have been mentioned. However, these studies should have been done years ago. The DEP has several checkpoints throughout the landfill. The DEP is also required to review the application of expansion.

I believe there's not a Supervisor sitting up here that wants the expansion. However, because of the mismanagement of the funds by previous Supervisors, including Jane Mellart, we are going to be forced to grant the expansion. If the funds had been managed properly, the Township would be in a better position than we are now. But instead, we're left with no choice.

The present Supervisors should not be held responsible for something that previous

1 Supervisors did not plan for. And I will repeat The previous Supervisors -- the present 2 that. 3 Supervisors should not be held responsible for what 4 the previous Supervisors did not plan for. 5 I have a copy of the minutes from July 6 8, 2020, where a resident at the time, Don Moore, 7 stated that, if we are going to close the landfill, 8 we better start preparing for it. From 2020 to 9 2023, Jane Mellart was a Supervisor and did nothing, 10 did nothing about it. 11 We couldn't come up with a plan in the 12 past decades of how we're going to support ourselves 13 without Waste Management. How do you expect to do 14 it in the next eight to ten years? That's all I 15 have. 16 That concludes comment. MR. FIELD: 17 from the Board Members. 18 At this time, all in favor, say aye. 19 MR. FIELD: Aye. 20 MR. ITTERLY: Aye. 21 MR. KEMMERER: Aye. 22 MR. BORGER: Opposed. 23 MR. FAIRCHILD: Opposed. 24 MR. FIELD: Motion carries 3-2. 25

```
1
                     (Whereupon, the Public Hearing on
       Ordinance No. 426 was concluded at or about
 2
       11:30 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me upon the foregoing matter on Wednesday, August 27, 2025, and that it is a correct transcript of the same.

Brooke A. Spencer Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)